The City of Calgary
Water Resources

Erosion and Sediment Control Guidelines, 2017

Objectives

Successful Erosion and Sediment Control (ESC) ultimately results from the combined efforts of all stakeholders partnering to develop site-specific design and innovation, combined with timely implementation, inspection, and maintenance of ESC measures.

The objectives of these guidelines are to provide an ESC framework that:

- Meets an overall goal of reducing ESC impacts to infrastructure and the environment.
- Achieves a high degree of compliance with ESC requirements
- Fosters a greater understanding of ESC issues in Calgary
- Facilitates an efficient and effective submission process

To meet these objectives, the Erosion and Sediment Control (ESC) Guidelines:

- Identify the people responsible for ensuring good ESC practices and their roles in the process
- Highlights the most common ESC regulatory requirements applicable to construction projects or other soil-disturbing activities within Calgary
- Describe the physical processes that influence erosion and the movement of eroded sediment that ESC designers and construction people need to know about
- Describe the planning and design of ESC measures and submissions of ESC plans to The City of Calgary (The City)

These guidelines were prepared to help stakeholders understand, evaluate, and implement effective ESC measures during construction.

Note: These ***Erosion and Sediment Control Guidelines*** are intended to support the planning and design stages of projects in Calgary. For information on ESC implementation, maintenance, and inspection requirements, refer to The City of Calgary's ***Field Manual for Erosion and Sediment Control***.

EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL CONTACT INFORMATION

CONTACTING THE CITY OF CALGARY:

General ESC Questions: 3-1-1

Drainage Permits: Contact 3-1-1. Information on Drainage Permits is also available at www.calgary.ca/esc

Key phrases to ensure that you are promptly connected with the ESC staff are:

- “Erosion and Sediment Control”
- “Erosion and Sediment Control Inspection”
- “Erosion and Sediment Control Approval”
- “Drainage Permit”
- “Drainage Permit Self-Assessment”

EMERGENCIES:

Immediate response required from Police, Fire and/or Emergency Medical Services: **9-1-1**

RELEASE REPORTING:

Reports of releases (including sediment) must be made to:

- 3-1-1 (The City of Calgary)
- 1-800-222-6514 (Alberta Environment and Parks) 24-hour release reporting line

Publication Information

LEGAL DISCLAIMER

Construction activities, including the operations, maintenance, and repair of infrastructure and utilities, commonly disturb soil or sediments and create the potential for erosion, sedimentation, and offsite releases of sediment and associated contaminants. The design, implementation, and management of stormwater and erosion and sediment control practices require detailed knowledge and practical expertise.

Guidance in this document is solely provided to assist users with basic information on requirements, processes, and practices. While believed to be accurate, content is provided strictly as is and without warranty of any kind.

The City of Calgary, its agents, and its consultants are not responsible for the accuracy of the contents, and do not accept any liability for the results of any action taken on the basis of the information provided in this document. In addition, information in this document must not be construed as legal advice.

TITLE:	Erosion and Sediment Control Guidelines
INTENT:	This document provides information on control of erosion and sediment during urban construction, and operations and maintenance activities that disturb soil or sediments.
PREPARED FOR:	The City of Calgary, Water Resources
VERSION:	2017 Edition
ADDITIONAL COPIES:	To download an electronic copy: www.calgary.ca/esc
INFORMATION:	Corporate Call Centre: 3-1-1 (within Calgary)

NOTE: Due to changing regulations and technology, The City of Calgary may periodically update this manual. Please ensure you have a current version by visiting our website at: www.calgary.ca/esc

Table of Contents

List of Tables

List of Figures

List of Photos

Commonly Used Acronyms

°C	degree Celsius
AEP	Alberta Environment and Parks
ASTM	ASTM International
CEPA	*Canadian Environmental Protection Act*
Can-CISEC	Canadian Certified Inspector of Sediment and Erosion Control
CPESC	Certified Professional in Erosion and Sediment Control
DFO	Fisheries and Oceans Canada
EI	Erosivity Index
EPEA	Environmental Protection and Enhancement Act (Alberta)
ESC	erosion and sediment control
ha	hectare
IDF	Intensity-Duration-Frequency
LID	low-impact development
m	metre
m/s	metre per second
MGA	Alberta *Municipal Government Act*
mm	millimetre
NPA	*Navigation Protection Act*
NPP	Navigation Protection Program
P.Ag.	Professional Agrologist
P.Eng.	Professional Engineer
P.L.Eng.	Professional Licensed Engineer
PAM	Polyacrylamides
P&D	Planning & Development
RECP	Rolled erosion control product
RUSLE	Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation
RUSLEFAC	Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation for Application in Canada
t/ha/y	tonne per hectare per year
TDL	Temporary Diversion Licence
The City	The City of Calgary
U.S.	United States
USDA	U.S. Department of Agriculture

1.0 Introduction

1.1 Why Control Erosion and Sediment?

Natural and geologically dynamic processes (including weathering, erosion, and plate tectonics) can occur at very slow rates, and are a vital factor in maintaining environmental balance. Human activities, including the removal of vegetation and topsoil during construction, can expose highly erodible subsoil and can lead to accelerated rates of erosion and magnified volumes of sediment released from site. The removal of soil-stabilizing vegetation, and the exposure and compaction of fine-grained soils, can result in stormwater runoff and soil erosion rates that are orders of magnitude greater than natural rates. Disturbed sediment can be transported from sites into surrounding storm infrastructure where they settle out, reducing the storm drainage systems capacity to convey stormwater. Removal of this sediment is costly and time consuming. Sediments also contain deleterious substances like silt, hydrocarbons, metals, and fertilizers into waterways. Half of the trace metals carried in runoff water are attached to sediment (Caltrans, 1996). These substances can negatively impact water quality and aquatic habitat, and by extension the quality of life in Calgary and the broader watershed.

The following common terms and definitions are used in this guideline:

- **Erosion** refers to the physical detachment, entrainment, and transportation of soil particles by erosive agents, commonly wind and water.
- **Sediment** refers to soil particles that have been detached and mobilized by soil erosion agents.
- **Sedimentation** occurs when the energy of wind or moving water is less than the force of gravity on soil particles, resulting in their deposition.
- **Stormwater** refers to rain or melt water collected on site.
- **Drainage** refers to the flow of collected rain or melt water on a site.
- **Storm Drainage System/Stormwater Infrastructure** are used synonymously and refer to engineered conveyance systems for stormwater.

1.1.1 Source Control Philosophy

The management of eroded fine sediment can be very challenging, ineffective, and expensive, so ESC efforts must be primarily directed at reducing soil loss at the source.

Many subsoils in the Calgary area contain high proportions of fine silt and clay-sized particles, which can limit the effectiveness of filtration and settling practices proposed on construction sites.

Fine sediment may settle out in the storm drainage system; damage public and private property; and negatively impact fish and fish habitat, water supply, flood control, navigation, and recreation.

Practices that focus on reducing soil loss through the control of runon and runoff, and temporary and permanent stabilization of exposed soils, are collectively known as *source control practices.*

Controlling erosion at the source is the most effective and economical strategy in most situations. Well-planned and implemented source control practices are best when complemented with sediment control practices (ideally placed close to the source).

1.1.2 Erosion and Sediment on Construction Sites

Construction site stormwater management, dust control, and erosion control are critical parts of any construction activity that disturbs soil. Operational activities like site dewatering are a potential source of sediment loading into the storm drainage system. Dust caused by disturbance of exposed, dry subsoils by wind and equipment is also a significant problem in Calgary.

Even small construction sites and operations (such as underground utility repairs) need to implement practices to minimize or control mud tracking, wind-blown dust, and water-borne sediment transfer.

1.1.3 Erosion and Sediment Control Design and Planning Objectives:

ESC designers on a construction site must consider the following objectives:

- Limit soil loss for all exposed slopes to **2 tonnes per hectare per year** (t/ha/y) or less.
- Identify and recognize the high value of environmental resources, infrastructure, and property within, and adjacent to, construction sites. Protect it accordingly.
- Assist stakeholders in gaining a good understanding of erosion and sedimentation processes.
- Consider the importance of soil texture, site topography, and seasonal variations in climate.
- Plan and implement practices to control erosion at the source (this requires control of runon and runoff, and provision of timely and effective soil cover and stabilization).
- Avoid using a ‘one size fits all’ approach to ESC Plan preparation.
- Clearly understand the purposes and limitations of specific ESC practices.
- Include specifications and requirements for ESC in pre-tender documents and contracts. Use clear writing and plain language for ESC Plans so they will be easily understood by contractors.
- Recognize that the ESC Plan is a living document and may require amendments during the construction process.
- Hold preconstruction meetings and invite the appropriate stakeholders, including regulatory agencies.
    - For sites larger than 0.4 ha, be aware that ESC pre-construction meetings are mandatory with the date and time sent out within the ESC Approval letter.

Note: **2 t/ha/y** is the tolerable limit outlined by Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada for all soil contributing runoff and sediments to streams or surface water supplies; shallow soils (<10cm) over bedrock (Table 1.2, RUSLEFAC: Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, 2002).

1.2 Erosion and Sediment Control Responsibilities

This section provides a brief outline of ESC stakeholder responsibilities.

The successful planning, implementation, inspection and maintenance practices to control runon, runoff, erosion, and sedimentation requires the cooperation of many project stakeholders (landowners, consultants, project managers, homebuilders, contractors and trades, regulators, and City of Calgary staff).

1.2.1 Owner

- Although the owner (who could be a private developer or a City Business Unit) may contract out ESC Plan development to a specialist and ESC implementation to a contractor, **the owner is ultimately responsible for ESC on their land and for confirming compliance with regulations**.
- At the end of the project, the owner is responsible for confirming that the site is stabilized and for approving the timely removal of temporary ESC measures.

1.2.2 Project Manager

- The project manager serves as the owner's representative on a specific project.
- The project manager may also delegate the tasks of implementing and inspecting ESC on the project.
- The project manager must confirm that ESC Plans have been submitted and approved, that the information contained within the plans are being adhered to, that the ESC Plan is understood by all site stakeholders, that a copy of the plan is available onsite, and that changes to the plan are brought to the attention of The City ESC Inspector via amendments.

1.2.3 Designer

The City requires that ESC Plans be prepared by a Qualified Designer. A Qualified Designer must hold a CPESC (Certified Professional in Erosion and Sediment Control), P.Eng. (Professional Engineer), P.L.Eng. (Professional Licensed Engineer; called a Limited Licence in other jurisdictions), or a P.Ag. (Professional Agrologist). Designer responsibilities include:

- The ESC designer must develop ESC Plans that meet regulatory requirements, can be integrated with project scheduling, and can be clearly understood and implemented by the contractor(s).
- During the development of the initial site ESC Plan, the ESC designer must visit the project site to conduct a thorough site evaluation and risk assessment.
- The ESC designer must emphasize that the ESC Plan is a legally binding document which is approved by The City prior to commencement of the project construction and will need to be frequently reviewed. The ESC Plan must be updated as necessary to accommodate potential changes throughout the construction stage of the project. Amendments to the approved ESC Plan must be submitted to The City for approval.

1.2.4 Contractor (Implementation and Maintenance)

- The contractor is responsible for understanding and following the approved ESC Plan.

- The contractor must implement the practices prescribed in the ESC Plan (including amendments), and then accommodate a defined inspection and maintenance program.
- Where practices do not function as intended, the contractor must communicate observations to the person responsible for submitting ESC Amendments.
- When the contractor has concerns or wishes to propose alternate ESC measures, they must discuss them with the owner and ESC designer. The owner is responsible for ensuring the amendment process and requirements are met and that the City ESC Inspector has approved the amendment prior to implementation.
- Depending on contractual agreements, contractors may also be responsible for the removal of temporary ESC practices once the contributing area is stabilized.

1.2.5 Site ESC Inspector

- Site ESC Inspectors must be meet the definition of a Qualified Inspector. This is a person with the education and experience necessary to inspect a construction site to ensure the ESC measures prescribed in the ESC Plan are being employed and are effective. Designation as a Canadian Certified Inspector of Sediment and Erosion Control (Can-CISEC) is one method of attaining the qualifications of a qualified inspector.
- Site ESC inspectors must clearly understand the ESC Plan; be able to recognize the effective application of controls, and communicate concerns to the contractor.
- Site ESC inspectors must understand the need to document ESC practices (photos, inspection and maintenance records, and amendments to the ESC Plan), and follow documentation requirements.

1.2.6 The City of Calgary

- The City is responsible for the protection of the storm drainage system from discharges that could impact the integrity of the system or the quality of storm drainage.
- The City ESC Inspector is responsible for reviewing ESC Plans submitted before construction projects, and clearly communicating submission requirements to customers.
- City ESC Inspectors conduct ESC inspections on sites, to assess compliance with Approved ESC Plans.
    - City management and staff ensure ESC inspections are periodically undertaken as required, and any areas of non-compliance identified and communicated with the customer.
    - City management and staff are responsible to confirm inspections and enforcement are thorough and fair, with any enforcement for non-compliance following established compliance assurance principles.

2.0 Regulatory Requirements

Disclaimer: This section provides an overview of the common regulatory requirements that may apply to projects and activities that could result in erosion and sedimentation. This information is NOT offered, or intended to be used, as legal advice. Always obtain specific legal advice, and contact all relevant regulatory agencies when planning a construction project.

2.1 Overview

This section provides a summary of some of the federal, provincial, and municipal statutes, regulations, codes of practice, and bylaws containing provisions addressing (or inferring the requirements for) the control and management of erosion, sedimentation, and water discharged from construction sites. Although requirements are outlined in the following subsections, the list is not intended to be all-encompassing.

2.2 Municipal Legislation (The City of Calgary)

The Alberta *Municipal Government Act* (*MGA*) grants municipalities in Alberta the authority to create and enforce bylaws, and regulate private land uses through planning and zoning. Under the act, Council has the power to regulate a system of licences, permits, or approvals, and has the right to control drainage to water bodies and watercourses in their jurisdiction.

The following City bylaws and standards are applicable to the design and implementation of ESC Plans and their impacts on the storm drainage system, the wastewater systems, and stormwater management practices in Calgary. Copies of all City bylaws are available on The City of Calgary's website (www.calgary.ca).

2.2.1 Drainage Bylaw

The *Drainage Bylaw, 37M2005*, regulates storm drainage within Calgary and contains provisions aimed at protecting storm drainage systems, and private and public property from adverse effects.

The City and site owners must verify that the storm drainage system receives only water of the quality and quantity for which it was designed. By reviewing, approving, and inspecting ESC Plans, The City helps ensure that storm drainage systems are protected from prohibited materials such as soil and sediment.

Certain materials and contaminants defined under the bylaw are prohibited from entering the storm drainage system. These materials and contaminants may be defined by their ability to directly or indirectly obstruct the flow of water within the storm drainage system, or they may have an adverse effect on the storm drainage system, stormwater quality, human health or safety, property, or the environment.

The *Drainage Bylaw* obligates the responsible party to report and mitigate any unauthorized discharge of prohibited materials, whether accidental or intentional. Reporting of unauthorized discharges is mandatory.

- An approved ESC Plan is legally required before commencing soil movement on any construction site greater than 0.4 hectare (ha).
  - The ESC Plan outlines the owner's commitment to reduce soil losses from their site that can cause an adverse effect on the storm drainage system and the surrounding and receiving environment.
  - ESC Plans are often triggered under the *Land Use Bylaw*; however, they are approved under the *Drainage Bylaw*.

A Stormwater Drainage Permit is required before allowing any impounded water from a parcel of land to be directed into The City's storm drainage system. This includes draining ponds on private land and draining excavations during construction. To request a Stormwater Drainage Permit phone 3-1-1 for more information on permits or visit www.calgary.ca/esc.

2.2.1.1 Community Standards Bylaw

The *Community Standards Bylaw, 5M2004*, regulates neighbourhood nuisances, and safety and liability issues. This bylaw requires owners or occupiers of property to take precautions to prevent dust or other airborne matter from escaping the premises.

- Dust control measures must be implemented at all constructions sites, regardless of size.
- It is important to keep in mind when planning and constructing sediment traps or ponds on construction sites (especially for locations accessible to the public), that they not be considered nuisances or pose a danger to public safety.
- An owner or occupier of a property must not allow an excavation, drain, ditch, or other depression in the ground to become or remain a danger to public safety. A trap or pond may be declared a nuisance and the owner or occupier of the property required to eliminate the nuisance or danger.

2.2.1.2 Wastewater Bylaw

The *Wastewater Bylaw, 14M2012*, regulates the quality of wastewater discharge streams to protect Calgary's wastewater collection system and treatment plants. Designers of ESC Plans must not rely on discharging site storm and/or groundwater into the sanitary (wastewater) system but must dispose of it at an approved location with an approved permit.

- Discharge of stormwater or groundwater coming off a construction site cannot be directed into a wastewater (sanitary) system.

2.2.1.3 Street Bylaw

The purpose of the *Street Bylaw, 20M88*, is to control and regulate the use of streets; and to restrict and regulate activities on, adjacent, or near to streets. This bylaw relates to ESC Plans regarding soil stockpiling activities and sediment control (especially tracking mud onto City streets).

- Under the *Street Bylaw*, no person will place, dispose, direct, or allow any material belonging to that person on a portion of a street unless authorized to do so by the Traffic Engineer pursuant to this bylaw or pursuant to the Calgary *Traffic Bylaw, 26M96*; or by any other bylaw.
  - Material includes sand, gravel, earth, refuse, and building products.

- Any person authorized under permit to develop private or public land adjacent to a street, or other person acting on their behalf, must not allow mud, dirt, or other construction debris to be tracked by motor vehicles from these lands onto a street.

2.2.1.4 Riparian Strategy

The City's *Riparian Strategy* provides direction for the protection, restoration, and management of riparian areas in Calgary (The City, 2014). Five riparian management categories (Conservation, Restoration, Recreation, Flood and Erosion Control, and Developed) have been mapped along the riparian areas of major rivers and streams in Calgary.

The City encourages the use of bioengineering designs that focus on reducing environmental impacts within these areas.

- Under The City's *Riparian Decision Matrix for River Engineering Projects* (The City, 2015), traditional (hard) engineering techniques (like riprap slope reinforcement) are prohibited or discouraged for bank stabilization projects located within Riparian Management Zones.

2.2.2 Duty to Report Releases

Provincial requirements associated with the *Release Reporting Regulation* (AR117/93) under the Alberta *Environmental Protection and Enhancement Act* (*EPEA*) (Government of Alberta, 2016) addresses the release of substances into the environment, and sets requirements for reporting releases to Alberta Environment and Parks (AEP) and any other regulatory authority with jurisdiction. The *Release Reporting Regulation* consolidates requirements and standardizes reporting found in previous provincial legislation, such as the *Clean Air Act* and the *Clean Water Regulations,* subsequently replaced by *EPEA*.

In the *Release Reporting Regulation*, any release, including sediment, into any watercourse or surface water body requires immediate notification to AEP. Subsequent to immediate reporting, written reports are required within 7 days.

The City of Calgary, as per the current *Drainage Bylaw, 37M2005*, Release of Prohibited Substances, Section 5. (1), requires any person who releases, or causes or allows to be released, any prohibited material into the Storm Drainage System in contravention to the Bylaw must take all reasonable measures to immediately notify:

(a) the 9-1-1 emergency telephone number if there is any damage or immediate danger to:

(i) human health or safety;

(ii) property;

(iii) the environment; or

(iv) the Storm Drainage System;

(b) the City, by calling the 24-hour 3-1-1 telephone number;

(c) the owner of the Premises where the Release occurred; and

(d) any other Person that may be affected by the Release.

Note: Releases must be reported **as soon as a person knows or ought to have known** of the release. A person "ought to have known" a release has occurred when, based on the information available, it is possible a release has occurred. That person will then confirm whether a release has occurred and report accordingly.

2.3 Provincial and Federal Regulations

2.3.1 Provincial Regulatory Requirements

Current versions of all provincial acts, regulations, and codes of practice, including those listed in this section, are available online from the Alberta Queen's Printer (www.qp.alberta.ca).

2.3.1.1 Environmental Protection and Enhancement Act

The purpose of the *EPEA* is to support and promote the protection, enhancement, and wise use of the environment. Under the act, it is prohibited to knowingly release or permit the release of a substance into the environment in an amount, concentration, or level, or at a rate of release, that is in excess of an approval or a regulation, or causes or may cause an adverse effect. The act also creates a duty to report that includes all persons who release or cause a release of a substance into the environment that may cause, is causing, or has caused an adverse effect.

An employee of a local authority or other public authority who discovers, is informed of or who investigates a release of a substance into the environment must ensure AEP has been notified. If the employee is unable to confirm release reporting to AEP has occurred, they have a legal obligation under *EPEA* to report the release.

2.3.1.2 Wastewater and Storm Drainage Regulation

The *Wastewater and Storm Drainage Regulation* (AR119/93) is also part of *EPEA* and sets out requirements for design and construction, substance release, extensions and replacement, and operations of municipal, industrial, and privately owned wastewater and storm drainage systems.

This regulation prohibits the disposal of a substance into a wastewater or storm drainage system that is in an amount, concentration, or level, or rate of release, that may impair the integrity of the wastewater or storm drainage collection system, impair the operation or performance of a storm drainage treatment facility or wastewater treatment plant, or impair the quality of storm drainage or treated wastewater and the gases and sludge produced in the treatment process.

2.3.1.3 Water Act

The Alberta *Water Act* (Government of Alberta, 2014a) focusses on managing and protecting Alberta's water, while streamlining administrative processes through various regulations, codes of practice, and guidelines. Under the act, AEP regulates work in and around water bodies, including lakes, rivers, streams, and wetlands. The *Water Act* prohibits the alteration of water flow, water level, and location of water for the purpose of removing an ice jam, or water drainage, flood control, erosion control, or channel realignment infrastructure. Approval under the act is required for activities related to placing, constructing, operating, maintaining, removing, or disturbing ground, vegetation, or other material in or on any land, water, or water body that may cause or may become capable of causing the siltation of water or the erosion of any bed or shore of a water body.

2.3.1.4 Water (Ministerial) Regulation

This regulation relates to site stormwater management. The *Water (Ministerial) Regulation* (AR205/98), lists activities that are exempt from the approval requirement. Included in this list is landscaping that is not in a watercourse, lake, or wetland if the landscaping does not result in an adverse effect on the aquatic environment on any parcel of land, or does not change the flow or volume of water on an adjacent parcel of land.

2.3.1.5 Water Act Codes of Practice

Under the *Water Act* are several Codes of Practice, including the:

- *Code of Practice for Outfall Structures* (2003),
- *Code of Practice for Watercourse Crossings* (2001),
- *Code of Practice for Pipelines and Telecommunications Lines Crossing a Water Body* (2001).

Measures to prevent or control erosion and sedimentation when undertaking these activities are included in the requirements found in these codes.

2.3.1.6 Public Lands Act

The Alberta *Public Lands Act* (Government of Alberta, 2014b) manages the access and work conducted on Alberta public lands through written authorizations or dispositions that specify requirements for activities. For work on public land, approval to undertake an activity in or near a water body or watercourse may be required.

The act prohibits any activities involving the accumulation of waste material, debris, refuse, or garbage on public land; injuriously affecting watershed capacity; disturbance that results or is likely to result in injury to the bed or shore of any river, stream, watercourse, lake, or other body of water or land; and the creation of any condition on public land that is likely to result in soil erosion.

2.3.1.7 Soil Conservation Act

The intent of the Alberta *Soil Conservation Act* (Government of Alberta, 2010) is to protect soils for agricultural purposes. In some cases, uncontrolled erosion and sedimentation on construction projects within Calgary may lead to loss or deterioration of soil on adjacent agricultural land.

2.3.2 Federal Regulatory Requirements

Current versions of all federal legislation, including those listed in this section, are available online from Justice Canada (www.justice.gc.ca).

2.3.2.1 Fisheries Act

The *Fisheries Act* was established to manage and protect fish and fish habitat, and is binding in all Canadian provinces and territories. The act is administered by Fisheries and Oceans Canada (DFO), although Environment Canada may also enforce sections of the *Fisheries Act*. In November 2013, amendments to the *Fisheries Act* came in to force (DFO, 2013a).

The *Fisheries Act* prohibits the deposition of deleterious substances into waters frequented by fish. Sediment is considered a deleterious substance; therefore, the erosion of exposed soils and offsite transport of sediment into natural water bodies can violate the pollution prevention provisions of this act.

2.3.2.2 Navigation Protection Act

The *Navigation Protection Act* (*NPA*) (formerly, the *Navigable Waters Protection Act*) is a federal law administered by Transport Canada that came into effect April 1, 2014 (Government of Canada, 1985). The *NPA* is designed to protect the public's right of navigation, and applies to works constructed or placed in, on, over, under, though, or across scheduled navigable waters. The Navigation Protection Program (*NPP*) ensures that works constructed in navigable waterways are reviewed and regulated to reduce the risks to navigation. The *NPP* administers and enforces the provisions of the *NPA* (Transport Canada, 2014a). This act applies to sediment and debris releases that may affect the navigability of a waterway

2.3.2.3 Canadian Environmental Protection Act

The *Canadian Environmental Protection Act*, *1999* (CEPA) is jointly administered by Environment Canada and Health Canada. Under the act, it is prohibited to release or permit the release of a toxic substance into the environment in an amount, concentration, or level that is in excess of an approval or a regulation that may cause significant adverse effects to the environment, and human life and health (Government of Canada, 1999a).

With respect to ESC, this act applies to the release of sediment-laden water, as well as dust from construction sites. The act includes requirements for reporting releases and the duty to take reasonable remedial measures.

3.0 Erosion and Sediment Control Plans

3.1 Overview

The owner or person responsible for a construction site is responsible for creating an ESC Plan and obtaining approval from The City under Section 16(1) of the *Drainage Bylaw, 37M2005*. The ESC Plan must indicate what measures will be employed to prevent soil erosion and the release of a substance into the storm drainage system or into the environment that may cause an adverse effect.

At a minimum, ESC Plans must consist of:

- A completed application form:
  - See www.calgary.ca/esc for the most current copy.
- Drawings:
  - See Figure 3-1 for typical drawing stages. Only those applicable should be included in your ESC Plan.
- Reference to applicable standard specification numbers for those practices used from the City of Calgary's *Standard Specifications for Erosion and Sediment Control.*
- Supplementary Documents:
  - This may include, but is not limited to: sieve analysis, nomograph, site photos, and manufacturer's specifications.
  - See www.calgary.ca/esc for the most current requirements.

ESC Plans must identify the location, design, and timing of appropriate ESC practices throughout all stages of construction. Figure 3-1 shows the typical progression of development and ESC drawings required. Depending on the stages in your project you will only be required to submit a selection of these drawing types along with your application. See Section 3.2 below for more details on submission requirements for specific project types. After initial approval, amendments to the plan may be required over the course of a development project.



Figure 3-1 Erosion and Sediment Control Drawings for Stages of Construction

Typical project stages that would be addressed in an ESC Plan include:

- Details: ESC 0 is only required when non standard controls and practices are used. This drawing would include drawing details for non-standard controls or practices proposed for the project. Non standard practices are ESC practices that are not detailed within the *Standard Specifications for Erosion and Sediment Control*.
- Before Stripping and Grading: shown as ESC 1 (Before), this part of the plan would describe how the site looked prior to development.
- During Stripping and Grading: ESC 2 (During) describes how the ESC goals would be met if there is a planned pause or defined step during stripping and grading. This drawing may also be used if there is a need for an amendment after ESC Approval has been obtained (e.g. ESC3 can't be achieved prior to winter). If no ESC2 drawing is submitted as part of the plan, it is likely that a well defined ESC10 will be required.
- Post Stripping and Grading: ESC 3 (Post) would show how the site would be protected post-stripping and grading.
- Major Cuts and Fills: ESC 4 (Cut Fill) a separate cut and fill plan is required for sites with cut and/or fill depths that are greater than 2 m.
- Before Development: ESC 5 (Before Development) describes how the site is protected prior to starting construction of below and above ground infrastructure. In some cases, this drawing could be the same as ESC 3.

- Post Underground: ESC 6 (Post Underground) describes how the site is protected prior to the start of construction of above ground infrastructure and after deep underground utilities have been installed.
- Above Ground Work: ESC 7 (Above Ground Work) details the continued need for ESC while new homes and other developments are being erected.
- Development Completion: ESC 8 (Development Completion) talks about how the site would be stabilized following erection of new building(s).
- Landscaping: ESC 9 (Landscaping) details the final stabilization for the site. Quick and successful establishment of ground cover is one of the best ways to ensure good ESC.
- Phasing: ESC 10 (Phasing) describes in detail in which order the site will be constructed. Approximate durations for each stage are required on this drawing as well.

Each stage of construction is addressed in at least one drawing, but may require more than one drawing in some cases. Where more than one drawing is required for a stage, the drawing should be named with a lettering convention (e.g., ESC 7a & ESC 7b would represent two different sub-stages of stabilization within the above ground work stage). Each drawing will also include a series of notes and calculations supporting the assumptions and ESC practices selected (see Appendix B for examples of ESC Drawings).

For the duration of the project, the construction site will either match an ESC drawing that is part of the ESC Plan or be in a well-timed transition from one drawing to the next within the ESC Plan. As construction schedules and conditions will change, the ESC Plan may need to be amended.

3.1.1 New Projects

The need for an ESC Plan for a new development is determined by the size of the proposed *soil disturbance area*.

- Depending on conditions set out through the permitting process (Section 6.0 provides more details) project sites may not require submission of an ESC Plan if their soil disturbance area is:
    a. Less than 0.4 ha;
    b. Has low erosion potential; and is
    c. Not in close proximity to critical areas

In these cases, ESC good housekeeping practices must be followed (see Standard Specifications Erosion and Sediment Control [current edition] for more details).

- Project sites with a soil disturbance area equal to or greater than **0.4 hectares (ha)** will require the submission of an ESC Plan.
    a. The ESC Plan must consist of an application, drawings, and supporting documents. These documents are meant to provide a comprehensive plan for ESC implementation, inspection, and maintenance practitioner(s) to follow during construction.
- Project sites with a total soil disturbance area of **greater than 65 ha** require:
    - an ESC Plan;
    - a Phasing Plan Drawing (ESC10) which clearly shows how the soil disturbance area is to be limited to 65 ha at any one time during development of the site; and

- an ESC Large Site Safety Plan that is available to staff working on the construction site.

If soil disturbances must exceed 65 ha at any one time during development of the site, an ESC safety assessment, that considers the transport of sediments from site by means of wind, water, or vehicles must be conducted. The results of this assessment will be a written ESC Large Site Safety Plan (ESC Safety Plan) that adequately identifies and mitigates any safety issues noted in the initial assessment. The ESC Large Site Safety Plan is not required as part of an ESC Plan Application, but must be made available upon request of a City ESC Inspector.

Sites with large soil disturbance areas are typically exposed for longer times and this contributes to them having a higher risk of ESC issues than smaller sites. When reviewing the total number and area of ESC Plans approved in 2016, sites with a proposed soil disturbance area of 65 ha and greater made up 2% of ESC Plan submissions, but accounted for 23% of the land area approved for development. Given these findings, sites with large soil disturbance areas require additional planning, management and monitoring when it comes to mitigating ESC concerns.

The City understands that it is more efficient to develop larger tracts of land at one time, versus smaller portions. Through consultation with ESC stakeholders, a quarter section of land (65 ha) appears to be a reasonable area to develop in one construction season. Proponents of sites greater than 65 ha are encouraged to discuss phasing plans and supplementary information noted above with The City prior to submitting an ESC Plan Application.

For detailed information on ESC Plans and for a complete and up-to-date list of ESC Plan requirements and templates, please visit The City's ESC website at www.calgary.ca/esc.

Note: ESC Plans must be easily understood by contractors. Drawings will clearly identify where, when, and how to implement controls and practices to manage water, erosion and sedimentation. Effective planning and implementation requires the cooperation of the engineering consultant, ESC designer, project manager, contractors, regulators, and other project stakeholders.

3.1.2 Amendments

Approved ESC Plans must be updated to account for any changes that may occur onsite that affect the staging of work, location, or type of practices that were originally approved.

- Sites must submit an amendment prior to making changes to a construction site in order to stay in compliance with their approved ESC Plan.
- The project owner or owner's designate is responsible for submitting amendment documentation to The City prior to implementing any proposed changes.

At a minimum, an amendment request must contain the:

a) Project name;

b) Project reference number (Development Permit, Development Agreement, Development Liaison, Airport Development or Circulation Drawing number);

c) Municipal site address;

d) Notification that it is an amendment for a previous ESC Approval;

e) A detailed description of what is being amended;

f) Applicable amended drawing and details portions of the ESC Plan.

For detailed information and the most up-to-date ESC Plan amendment requirements and process, please visit The City's ESC website at www.calgary.ca/esc

3.2 Erosion and Sediment Control Plan Submission Process

3.2.1 Overview

Site development within Calgary may take place under different authorizations (e.g. development permits, development agreements). For more information on what authorization type your project falls under, please refer to The City's Planning & Development (P&D) website (www.calgary.ca/PDA/) or phone 3-1-1.

Conditions set out in your authorization will outline ESC requirements for the site. If it is determined that an ESC submission is required, one of the four different process categories outlined in this section must be followed for submitting your ESC application and drawing set to The City for review. Submission process categories are based on development types noted in the following subsections. Please refer to the detailed submission process and requirement charts located on The City's ESC website (www.calgary.ca/ esc) for up-to-date information.

3.2.2 Stripping and Grading

Stripping and grading development involves removing existing vegetation (grubbing) and topsoil, followed by cutting, filling, and grading of subsoils to create an appropriate base for future development (e.g., utilities, roadways, and buildings).

3.2.3 Subdivision

Subdivision development takes place after stripping and grading is complete and typically consists of final grading of land, delineation of individual building lots, installation of deep and shallow utilities and surface improvements (e.g., installation of sidewalks, curbs and gutters, homebuilding and asphalt).

Offsite Utility Installation

Offsites Utilities, often referred to as just 'Offsites', typically include deep sanitary, water and storm installation. This work typically occurs in parallel with subdivision works, but may be submitted as its own submission.

Row Housing

Row housing developments are single family attached units. These types of developments will have ESC drawings that are prepared and submitted during the larger subdivision approval process by the Developer and are governed by the associated subdivision development agreement. Copies of these ESC drawings should be supplied by the Developer to each individual builder who is constructing in the subdivision. If the builder wants to amend the original ESC plans for their specific lots, they will follow the existing ESC amendment process. For additional details on the ESC submission process, please refer to The City's ESC website (www.calgary.ca/esc) for up-to-date information.

3.2.4 Multi-family/Industrial/Commercial/Institutional

These types of developments take place after stripping and grading is completed and lots have been delineated through subdivision development. These developments involve lot-level deep and shallow utility installation and building construction.

3.2.5 City Capital Projects

City Capital Projects involve any project that is funded by The City and managed by a Business Unit or civic partner. These projects can vary from roadway widening to redevelopment of a City park.

3.2.6 Example ESC Drawings

Appendix B presents example ESC drawings for a greenfield site to final build out and includes stripping and grading drawings ESC 1 to ESC 4 and development drawings ESC 5 to ESC 10 for a multi-family development. Examples of development drawings (ESC 5 to ESC 10) for a subdivision development are also provided.

4.0 Erosion and Sediment Control Plan Design Considerations

4.1 Erosion and Sediment Control Design

Appropriate and effective erosion and sediment control will vary according to:

- Project type (e.g., linear, industrial, or residential)
- Duration of construction (e.g., how long between stripping of top soils until a permanent cover has been established)
- Size of site (scale)

When developing ESC Plans, the Qualified Designer must carefully consider the project schedule in selecting, designing, and laying out ESC practices. This will require communication between all parties.

At a minimum, the following steps should be followed when creating your ESC Plan and selecting ESC practices:

1. **Define Project Extent and Proposed Activities:**
    - **Provide a Project Description.** Describe the works to be completed as part of the project and expected extent of construction disturbance.
    - **Identify the Area to be Controlled.** In addition to the construction site, identify adjacent areas that could be adversely impacted by construction activities (existing vegetation to be preserved, existing watercourses and/or wetlands and ponds, and residential areas), and put adequate measures in place to protect these sensitive areas.
    - **Establish Construction Phasing (if needed).** The construction stage of a project or development is usually considered a temporary condition, which will normally be replaced by permanent structures and facilities. However, the construction work may take place over an extended period of time. Make sure management practices and controls are of sufficient size, strength, and durability to outlast the expected construction schedule until the site is permanently stabilized.
2. **Characterize Existing Site Conditions:**
    - **Conduct a Site Visit.** To get the best understanding of site conditions and areas that will require ESC attention a trip to site is required.
    - **Determine Soil Characteristics.** Soil texture, soil structure, permeability, and chemistry can affect the performance of many erosion control practices. Site-specific soil characterization using sieve analysis and the development of K-values is a required component of any ESC Plan (see Appendix A for more information on K-value determination).
    - **Establish Topographic Contours.** The selection and success of erosion control practices are dependent on slope length and gradient. The ease or difficulty of diverting clean runoff around the site is dependent on the terrain and drainage patterns. Therefore, a site topographic survey is essential to determine how water will run off.
    - **Identify and Define Drainage Areas and Patterns.** (Based on preconstruction topography and construction design). Linear projects may have numerous drainage areas

that must be addressed. Large, relatively flat grades may only generate sheet flow and will also be suitable areas for locating detention facilities. Steeper slopes may be prone to concentrated flows, especially at the toe of slopes.

- **Identify Climate and Season Impacts.** Using vegetation as an erosion control depends on local climatic conditions (because they affect, for example, seed mix selection and timing requirements). Soils that thaw in spring and have been left exposed prior to winter freeze-up are particularly susceptible to erosion; therefore, it is essential to implement erosion controls as part of pre-winter practices.
- **Consider Accessibility.** Some ESC practices require access for specialized equipment (e.g., hydroseeding).
- **Evaluate Costs.** Choose the most cost-effective practices that provide the necessary level of control for the required length of time.

3. **Select ESC Practices, and Consider the following for Implementation:**
    - **Divert Clean Runon and Runoff Around the Site and Away from Disturbed Areas.** It may be necessary to construct or install temporary diversion measures to divert water away from exposed slopes or to safely convey water down exposed slopes.
    - **Determine Temporary and Permanent Erosion Control Needs for all Drainage Channels and Sensitive Areas.** Some erosion control practices are intended as permanent measures (e.g., rock or grass lining, turf reinforcement mats, and check dams); while others are temporary (e.g., mulch and tackifiers). Identify existing vegetation to be preserved, existing watercourses to be protected from sediment, and existing residential areas that require dust control.
    - **Determine Areas and Stages Suitable for Erosion Control using Vegetative or Non-vegetative Measures, or a Combination of Measures.** Until suitable vegetation cover can be established, it may be necessary to implement additional practices, such as mulch, tackifiers, and rolled erosion control products (RECPs) (see *Standard Specifications Erosion and Sediment Control*).
    - **Determine Appropriate Sediment Control Requirements for Detaining and Treating Sediment-laden Runoff.** Large drainage areas can produce a significant amount of runoff, resulting in a need for large detention or retention structures. The size of structures required can be reduced by splitting up the large drainage areas or by phasing activities that cause soil disturbance.
    - **Consult Manufacturer Specifications.** When selecting some ESC practices, manufacturer's specifications provide valuable information on application, C-values, P-values, performance, installation, inspection, and maintenance.
    - **Establish Winter Shutdown Requirements (for longer-term projects).** Select and design practices for controlling erosion and sedimentation on the site during winter shutdown periods.

> Note: For ESC purposes, winter has been defined as November 15th - April 15th. However, site representatives must begin considering and taking steps to implement their site's Winterization Plan by September 15th.

4.2 Design Considerations for Small Sites

4.2.1 Overview

This section is intended to assist small parcel owners, developers, and contractors in designing and planning ESC on small sites.

Small sites are defined as:

- Sites with an overall disturbed area less than 0.4 ha (1 acre), including:
  - Single-family residential and duplex developments
  - Commercial, industrial, and multi-family sites

Note: Refer to *Standard Specifications Erosion and Sediment Control* for mandatory requirements for small sites.

Controlling dust and sediment and managing stormwater onsite are critical tasks on small sites. Uncontrolled construction activity can result in large quantities of sediment and other stormwater pollutants moving offsite and into the storm drainage system and water bodies.

Every small site is unique and poses its own constraints and potential erosion risks. Even on small sites it is the responsibility of the site developers and contractors to comply with all federal, provincial, and municipal regulations.

Additional measures and regulatory permits may be required in the following circumstances:

- Sites adjacent to or within 100 m upstream of a water body
- Sites containing steep slopes
- Sites receiving runon from adjacent upstream areas

ESC practices for small construction sites (including single-family lots) must be proposed and in place before contractors and homebuilders commence any grading activities, utility installation, or building construction, and the ESC practices must remain in place until the site is permanently stabilized.

4.2.2 Erosion and Sediment Control Practices for Small Sites

The following four general categories are practices for controlling erosion and sediment during development and construction activities on small sites:

1. Site preparation
2. Stormwater management
3. Erosion control
4. Sediment control

4.2.2.1 Site Preparation

- **Construction scheduling and staging:** Construction must be scheduled to minimize the potential for erosion and offsite transport of sediment and other pollutants. Additional controls may be required during periods of high erosion potential (e.g., heavy rainfall events in summer and rapid snowmelt).
- **Perimeter protection:** as described in the ESC Plan perimeter ESC measures must be installed at this stage.

- **Existing vegetation or vegetative strip preservation:** Preserving vegetation during site preparation, and correctly placing and protecting soil stockpiles are critical. Where possible, a vegetative buffer strip around the perimeter of the construction site should be preserved, as this will help reduce runoff velocity and trap sediment before runoff reaches perimeter controls.
- **Topsoil salvage and placement:** Long-term stockpiles (in place for more than 30 days) must also be covered or stabilized with mulch and tackifier, vegetative cover, or other suitable measures.
- **Site access and egress:** Construction entrances and exits must be stabilized (i.e., with gravel pads, coarse woody slash, or plywood sheeting).

Note: Except in special cases approved by The City's Roads Business Unit, storage of stockpiles on streets (including back lanes and sidewalks) is not permitted (such material may be eroded and washed into offsite areas and the storm drainage system). Likewise, material must not be stockpiled such that it could leave a site and enter a City street (e.g, on driveways). Where possible, locate stockpiles on a pervious surface, away from driveways, sidewalks, or other drainage features. Where it is necessary to store piles of gravel or soil on streets, obtain a City Street Use Permit (contact 3-1-1).

4.2.2.2 Stormwater Management

Erosion caused by concentrated discharge of stormwater from downspouts onto exposed soils is a common problem on small sites, especially residential lots prior to landscaping.

A stormwater drainage permit must be obtained from The City prior to discharging any impounded water (surface water and groundwater) to the storm drainage system (including swales) or offsite.

4.2.2.3 Erosion Control

Small site construction projects in Calgary typically last from 12 to 18 months, with additional time required for permanent stabilization. During this time, it is critical that exposed soils be stabilized with an appropriate erosion control. Where feasible, permanent erosion control is recommended for areas that can be brought to grade relatively quickly.

4.2.2.4 Sediment Control

Sediment-laden runoff, dust, and sediment tracking must be contained onsite for all small sites. Use of adjacent streets for sediment trapping and deposition is not permitted.

The following should be considered for smaller sites:

- Identify all perimeter areas and onsite storm sewer inlets where sediment-laden runoff could leave the construction site.
- Consider onsite perimeter controls (e.g, sediment, silt fence, or lot logs, such as compost socks or straw or fibre wattles) to minimize the potential for offsite sedimentation.

Perimeter controls must be in place before any other grading or soil-disturbing activities commence. Perimeter protection is also required around stockpiles in cases where material could migrate offsite.

For more information on suitable ESC practices see the *Standard Specifications Erosion Control (current edition).*

4.3 Erosion and Sediment Control Design Requirements and Considerations for Stormwater Low-impact Developments

4.3.1 Overview

Low-Impact Development (LID) ESC measures are part of the storm drainage system and must be protected from sedimentation to function as designed.

If an existing LID is on the construction site, it must be:

- Identified as such on all drawings
- The ESC Plan must outline how the LID will be protected for the duration of the project.

LID is a philosophy that focusses on maintaining the functional relationship between terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems. From a stormwater perspective, LID matches the post-development hydrological regime with the predevelopment regime in:

- Discharge rate
- Runoff volume
- Water quality

LIDs work with natural systems to manage stormwater runoff by preserving and recreating natural landscape features, and by minimizing hard surfaces (like asphalt and concrete) to create functional and appealing site drainage (The City, 2016). Constructed systems, like cisterns and water reuse systems, are also forms of LIDs.

LID practices typically rely on filtering stormwater runoff through a soil and vegetation complex, or storing runoff in a retention system to be used at a later date.

Options for LID facilities include a variety of landscaping and design practices that ultimately improve the quality and decrease the volume of stormwater entering waterways (Photo 5).

Photo 1 Landscaping Design and Low-impact Development

Examples of LIDs include:

- **Rain Gardens** – These small landscape depression features use a soil and vegetation complex to detain and filter runoff from an upstream catchment area. As runoff filters through the soil and vegetation complex, pollutants and contaminates are removed through biodegration, root absorption, and plant uptake. Rain gardens are more likely to be used in residential applications, such as a single-family lot.
- **Bioretention Facilities** – Similar to rain gardens, these facilities are larger and typically service a larger catchment area. Bioretention facilities are more likely found in commercial and industrial sites and multi-family developments.
- **Green Roofs** – Also known as a living roof, the primary purpose of a green roof is to manage flow rates and discharge volumes at the source prior to discharging into the offsite drainage course. A green roof is a roof partially or completely covered with vegetation and a growing medium, planted over a waterproofing membrane. It may also include additional layers, such as a root barrier, and drainage and irrigation systems.
- **Bioswales** – These landscape elements are designed to remove silt and pollutants from surface runoff water. Bioswales are gently sloping drainage swales comprising a soil and vegetation complex that is used to infiltrate and treat runoff prior to discharging into the receiving drainage course.
- **Absorbent Landscapes –** These landscapes consist of typical landscape features that use a thicker, less-compacted layer of top soil below to maximize the water-holding potential of the feature. Absorbent landscaping typically consists of flatter slopes that slow incoming runoff and allow it to infiltrate through the vegetation and soil complex.
- **Water Recycling and Reuse** – This process involves retaining and storing excess runoff during a rainfall event, typically by using cisterns or underground storage tanks to store and retain peak stormwater flows, and reusing the stored water at a later date for irrigation or other grey water uses.

If proper ESC measures are not employed upstream of the LID, sediment-laden runoff can enter the LID, clogging the soil and vegetation complex, thereby reducing or eliminating its filtration capacity. Sediment-laden runoff entering cisterns or storage tanks can cause operational problems by silting up mechanical equipment used to discharge runoff. For these reasons, it is imperative that that LID measures be protected until the upstream catchment area has been fully stabilized, or proper ESC measures have been installed.

Note: Whether a LID practice has been in place for years or is currently under construction, it is considered a critical area. Critical areas must be clearly identified in ESC documentation and applications, and the application must outline how the critical area will be protected for the duration of the project and until final stabilization.

4.3.2 LID Construction Planning

The primary considerations for LID construction planning are to ensure peak performance of the LID at the construction completion stage. The first step to doing this is to identify the construction method that will be used followed by selecting the most suitable ESC practices that align with the construction method.

4.3.2.1 Identify Construction Methods

LID construction methods are project stage specific. There may be more than one construction method for the same LID, based on the stage it is constructed within. Although one construction method may apply for all stages of construction, consider each stage separately when evaluating the following LID construction methods.

1. Construction Phasing – Install LID Last

The preferred method of establishing a LID is to construct it after the upstream catchment area has been fully stabilized (Photo 6).

Photo 2 Installing Low-impact Developments Last is the Preferred Construction Method

2. Isolation Measures

If upstream areas have not been stabilized, fully isolate LIDs that have been constructed or use temporary sacrificial measures such as poly sheeting, sod, sand, or aggregate, with a separation barrier, such as a geotextile (Photo 7). These measures must protect infrastructure and surface facilities that have been installed from deposition and clogging by eroded sediment. Use signage to alert those working on the site, as well as the public to the importance of protecting the LID.

Photo 3 Isolation Measures, Poly Sheeting

Isolation measures are considered temporary and include poly sheeting; temporary sod (Photo 8); and sand, mulch, or aggregate, with a separation barrier (e.g., geotextile fabric).

Photo 4 Temporary Sod Cover

3. Structurally Designed Protection

Structurally designed protections are temporary or permanent controls placed to either prevent the deposition of sediment into a source control practice or ensure deposited sediment is easily cleaned out. Though good ESC practices must still be followed onsite, there is an extra level of control that ensures the ESC practice is working the way it was designed. Good practices include:

- Diversion of runon around the LID(s)
- Adding silt fencing around the LID(s)
- Worker awareness of the importance of the LID(s) and frequent inspections to ensure ESC measures are working as planned.

4.3.2.2 Select Erosion and Sediment Control Practices for Low-impact Development Protection

Once the appropriate construction method has been selected for the proposed LID by construction stage, ESC practices required to adequately protect the proposed LID will be selected.

Any ESC practice can be used to protect or to work in conjunction with a LID facility. Selecting ESC practices for LIDs follows a similar approach to the steps defined in Section 5, and as LIDs are very sensitive to any sediment, the ESC practices selected must provide greater protection than 2 t/ha/yr.

Detailed information related to LID installation and protection must be clearly documented and included in the ESC Plan submitted to The City.

For more information on LIDs, refer to the *Standard Specifications Erosion and Sediment Control*.

5.0 Site Assessment and Erosion Potential Evaluation

This section provides details on ESC processes and methods to assess the erosion potential of construction sites in Calgary.

5.1 Overview

There are several key reasons for reducing sediment loss from sites. These include:

- Stopping sediments from entering the storm drainage system (where it is very costly to remove);
- Eliminating eroded sediments from discharging into watercourses (and impacting fish spawning areas and water quality in general);
- Preventing the loss of valuable organic soil materials (that provide mineral support, moisture and rooting medium for plant growth).
- Maintaining regulatory compliance and protecting human health and safety.

Understanding the ESC processes and assessing erosion potential during the planning stage of a project is essential to determining the degree to which ESC practices will need to be integrated into development.

5.2 Erosion and Sediment Control Processes

Erosion, sediment transport, and sedimentation can be characterized by the four processes of **detachment, entrainment, transport**, and **deposition/sedimentation**. The intensity and duration of each of these processes determine, to a large degree, the severity of erosion events. This section describes each of the four processes.

5.2.1 Detachment

Detachment refers to the breaking of bonds that hold a material together. Drag or tractive forces exerted by soil erosion agents are resisted by inertia or cohesive forces between soil particles. The forces are measured by velocity, discharge, soil particle shape, and roughness. Erosion is initiated by drag, impact (raindrop impact), or tractive forces acting on soil particles.

The texture, structure, and organic matter content of exposed soils affect detachment (erodibility) of soil particles. Soil can primarily be considered a mixture of different-sized inorganic materials formed from parent material and influenced by several physical, chemical, and biological variables over time.

Based on the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) classification, mineral soils (inorganic materials) are classified based on particle size, as follows:

- Gravels and cobbles (>2.0 mm in diameter)
- Sand (0.05- to 2.0 mm diameter),
- Silt (0.002- to 0.05 mm diameter),
- Clay (less than 0.002 mm diameter).

The cohesion and texture of soils have a major influence on detachment. Clay-sized particles typically have a much higher resistance to detachment than larger soil particles like sand and coarse silt, generally due to greater cohesive forces at the molecular level. Other factors influencing soil cohesion are organic matter content (stabilized organic matter in the soil acts like glue to bind particles together, increasing cohesive strength) and soil moisture (moisture improves cohesion up to a point then when the soil is saturated it decreases cohesion).

The majority of organic surface soils in the Calgary area are characterized as Black Chernozems (see Appendix A for more information), with textures typically ranging from silty clay loam to fine sandy loam. Much of the subsoil exposed during construction activities contains high proportions of fine silt and clay-sized material with minimal organic matter. These fine materials can limit the effectiveness of filtration and settling practices when trying to manage ESC issues.

Additional details about Calgary-specific soil characteristics and erodibility are provided in Appendix A.

The two basic detachment mechanisms in soil erosion are ***raindrop impact*** and ***abrasion***, which are described as follows:

4. Raindrop Impact: The force of falling raindrops (rainfall impact) is a function of raindrop mass and velocity. High-intensity rainfall events result in increased mass and velocity of raindrops impacting the ground and result in increasing particle displacement.
5. Abrasion: Soil particles transported by water or wind can exert impact and friction on other soil particles, resulting in additional detachment by rubbing (abrading) against them.

Note: Protecting exposed soil from raindrop impact by providing cover is the principal means of controlling erosion. Implementing erosion control measures and inspection prior to, during, and after high-intensity and long-duration rainfall events will effectively reduce the potential for erosion. For more information on implementation and inspection of erosion control measures please reference the *City of Calgary's Erosion and Sediment Control Field Manual (2017)* and *Specifications Erosion and Sediment Control (2017)*

5.2.2 Entrainment

Entrainment refers to the picking up of particles detached by erosive agents, such as wind and water (Briggs et al., 1989). It generally takes much more energy to detach particles than to entrain them, so entrainment usually automatically follows detachment. Entrainment is caused by:

1. Gravity: As a slope increases, an increasing proportion of the gravitational force operates down the slope, and detached particles begin to lose resistance to entrainment. Detached particles can be entrained by gravity as they are airborne or exposed to moving water.
2. Fluid Forces: Runoff and wind exert horizontal drag on particles. The density of the fluid is also critical in determining horizontal drag.

5.2.3 Transport

In addition to material that becomes dissolved in flowing water, detached soil particles that are entrained by air or water are transported in the following three ways:

1. Suspension: Suspended particles move in the water or air column without touching the bottom. The smallest particles (clays and silts) are easily transported in suspension.
2. Saltation: Larger, denser particles are somewhat resistant to entrainment and fall in and out of suspension. Falling particles can also dislodge other particles, setting them in motion.

3. Traction: Detached particles that are partially entrained by flowing air or water are not suspended, but slowly move along at the surface. Particles transported by traction move much more slowly than flow velocity.

5.2.4 Deposition and Sedimentation

Deposition and sedimentation occurs when there is insufficient energy to keep eroded particles entrained in air or water. This is typically caused by a reduction in flow velocity or turbulence. Large particles are very sensitive to changes in flow velocity. A very small reduction in flow velocity may be sufficient to change the entrainment and transport of large particles into deposition. (See Appendix A: Particulate Sedimentation Times, for a discussion on how particle size impacts the rate of deposition).

5.3 Runoff-induced Erosion

Precipitation hitting the ground is either stored (for example, snow and ice), absorbed (if the ground is dry), or runs off (if the ground is saturated). Runoff over exposed soils occurs when the quantity of water reaching the soil surface is greater than the ability of the soil to store it.

The amount of water a soil can absorb is based on the type of soil, how much water it is already storing, the time it has to absorb the water, and whether the soil is frozen or not.

Rainfall-induced erosion occurs mainly when ambient temperatures are above 0 degrees Celsius (°C); therefore, these guidelines focus on rainfall precipitation. However, melting snowfall must also be managed on a construction site, especially in the early spring when large amounts of snow may be in place above frozen ground. Note that RUSLEFAC applies for unfrozen soil only and it remains the ESC designer’s responsibility to also ensure the construction site is protected during the frequent freeze thaw cycles likely to occur every winter.

The amount of rainfall an area receives is governed by the following three factors:

1. Storm Intensity: As storm intensity increases, the volume of water reaching the exposed soil may exceed the soil’s ability to absorb water, resulting in surface ponding, runoff, or both.
2. Storm Duration and Pre-existing Soil Moisture Conditions: Saturated soils recharge the groundwater system, but they do so at very slow rates. As the duration of a storm event lengthens, soils become increasingly saturated, increasing the potential for ponding, runoff, or both. If the soil is dry before the storm, it may be capable of absorbing large amounts of water. If, however, the soil recently experienced a weather event it may already be partially or completely saturated, so any further moisture may readily run off and carry soil with it.
3. Soil Permeability and Infiltration Capacity: Fine-grained soils are generally more compact and have smaller pore spaces than coarse-grained soils, resulting in reduced permeability and water infiltration. Working a soil (i.e., scarifying or ripping) can increase permeability and infiltration. Compaction of soils by heavy construction equipment, on the other hand, decreases soil porosity, reduces infiltration, and can cause a marked increase in overland flow.

The first two factors are particularly variable across Calgary, with different parts of the city experiencing different storm intensities and durations.

5.3.1 Types of Runoff Induced Erosion

Erosion caused by runoff can be classified into four types:

1. Sheet Erosion (Photo 1)**:** Diffuse sheets of water moving across a soil surface (runoff) can result in the entrainment and transport of soil particles detached by raindrop erosion, and to a lesser degree, cause additional detachment of soil particles.

Photo 5 Sheet Erosion

2. Rill Erosion (Photo 2): Rills are long, narrow depressions or soil incisions 75 millimetres (mm) or less in depth. On hill slopes, runoff generally only occurs as sheet flow for a small distance before surface irregularities or turbulence cause runoff to concentrate. Water concentrates into the path of least physical resistance, resulting in micro-channels called rills. As the flow of runoff concentrates into channels, the friction between the flowing water and the soil surface is reduced and velocity increases. The resulting increase in flow velocities increases the erosion rate and the quantity of sediment transported. Road cuts and fills are particularly susceptible to rill erosion. Once the depth of a rill exceeds 75 mm, formation of gullies occurs (Fifield, 2005).

Photo 6 Rill Erosion

3. Gully Erosion (Photo 3): Deep, large channels called gullies can develop as an extension of the process of rill development, resulting from further concentration of runoff over erodible

soils and a dramatic increase in erosion rates. Gullies can be very costly and time-consuming to repair. Gullies don't customarily have water flowing through them constantly.

Photo 7 Gully Erosion

4. Channel Erosion (Photo 4): The erosion of the beds and banks of defined stream channels is often caused by increased runoff volumes, longer-duration peak flows, and altered channel base flow. Increased impervious cover and reduced infiltration resulting from soil compaction and urbanization (asphalt roads and parking lots) are common causes of increased runoff and peak discharges. Uncontrolled release of stormwater runoff in urbanized environments can result in significant scour and undercutting of stream channels. Sediment deposits can further alter stream channel characteristics and flow patterns.

Photo 8 Channel Erosion

5.4 Assessing Soil Erosion Potential

5.4.1 Erosion and Sediment Control Design Goals:

The design goals are to:

- Limit soil erosion during site development (by ensuring soils are stabilized where exposed),
    - Limit soil loss for all slopes to **2 tonnes per hectare per year** (t/ha/y) or less;
        - Look for innovative ways to discharge less than 2 t/ha/y from site.
- Locate sediment controls as close to the source of erosion as possible (when erosion controls cannot be implemented)

5.4.2 Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation for Application in Canada

Soil loss can be estimated using the mathematical equation defined as the Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation for Application in Canada (RUSLEFAC). RUSLEFAC is also used to assess proposed mitigation practices. With RUSLEFAC, the designer can estimate the rate of soil loss based on site-specific environmental factors, and then select and design ESC systems to address those factors.

The City uses RUSLEFAC during the review of ESC Plans to verify that estimated soil loss during the proposed project will not exceed the tolerable annual soil loss limit of 2 t/ha/y (for any given slope). This does not mean sites are permitted to discharge up to this amount of soil; RUSLEFAC is only used to confirm that the ESC Plan will reduce sediment losses and justify that the selected ESC measures are adequate.

In addition, as construction sites are dynamic, and not all ESC practices are in place for the entire duration of the project, erosion prediction calculations are required for each drawing submitted as part of the ESC Plan (see Section 3).

Example ESC Plan drawings in Appendix B are supported by the hypothetical examples of RUSLEFAC calculations provided in Appendix C.

The RUSLEFAC equation is defined as:

$$A = R * K * LS * C * P$$

Where:

A = Annual soil loss due to erosion (t/ha/y)
R = Erosivity index at a specific climatic location (**320** for Calgary)
K = Index for soil erodibility based on a specific soil's susceptibility to erosion
L = Topographic factor specific to length of the overland flow path
S = Topographic factor specific to steepness or slope of the overland flow path length
C = Cover and management factor
P = Support practices factor

Note: RUSLEFAC only provides soil loss estimates rather than absolute soil loss data, and does not determine when soil loss is excessive at a site or when erosion control systems have failed (like during major weather events). The ESC designer makes these decisions based upon numerous criteria, of which soil-loss and sediment-yield estimates are only two important components.

Exercise caution when using RUSLEFAC, as calculations are only as accurate as the accuracy of the input data.

Other limitations for RUSLEFAC include:

1. The component RUSLEFAC equations have not been verified for certain hill slope-length and gradient limits.
2. RUSLEFAC does not produce watershed-scale sediment yields, and it is inappropriate to input average watershed values for the computation of the RUSLE factors.
3. RUSLEFAC is limited to an estimation of erosion rates due to sheet and rill erosion. RUSLEFAC cannot be used to estimate erosion rates caused by gully or channel erosion.
4. RUSLEFAC is based on average storm erosivity values and not individual short, high-intensity rainfalls.

5.4.3 Annual Soil Loss (A-value)

For construction sites in Calgary, the following soil loss tolerance must be achieved using suitable ESC practices applied within the site.

$$A \leq 2.0 \ \ t/ha/y \text{ for every slope on site}$$

5.4.4 Climate (R-value)

The R-value is derived from probability statistics resulting from analyzing rainfall records of individual storms (see Section 4.3). Rainfall produces the erosive agents of raindrop impact and overland flow. Rainfall amount and intensity determine erosivity. Rainfall erosivity varies by location; therefore, the R-value describes erosivity at a location. The Erosivity Index (EI) for a single storm event is the product of a storm's energy (related to storm amount and intensity) and maximum 30-minute intensity.

Note: Calgary construction sites use **320** as an annual R-value.

5.4.5 Soil Erodibility Factor (K-value)

Soil susceptibility to erosion is the opposite of resistance of erosion. This susceptibility is known as soil erodibility, and the index for erodibility is the K-value. The K-value represents the rate of soil loss per unit area as measured on a 3.7-metre (m) by 22-m plot (Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, 2002). The lower the K-value, the better a soil is at resisting erosion.

Erosion assessment begins with a review of the types of soils that will be disturbed during construction, as well as soil materials that may be brought onto the site as fill. Although estimates of soil texture, structure, and permeability can be made from geotechnical reports, The City requires that all project sites have quantitative information on soil texture, obtained from soil sampling and laboratory particle size distribution data (to determine texture). The number of samples needed to get a rough soil texture assessment of the site is normally at the discretion of the geotechnical engineer (see Appendix A: Variables that Affect K-value).

The single most important factor affecting soil erodibility is soil texture (see Appendix A: Soil Types in the Calgary Area). Determination of a soil's texture is the first step in determining its K-value.

Detailed geotechnical investigations are used to determine a soil's texture by assessing particle size distribution is a sample, as reported by percent weight of:

- Silt,
- Very fine sand,
- Sand greater than 0.10 mm
- Organic matter

Soil structure, soil permeability, and then k-values are determined once these size distributions are known using design charts (see Appendix A).

A City of Calgary evaluation of 170 soil samples representing projects across Calgary had average K-values of 0.042 but they could range from 0.01 to 0.079.

A summary of typical K-values based on soil textural class is shown in Table 4-1.

> Note: If quantitative soil information is not available for your site and you are unable to determine a K-value, The City will accept a K-value of 0.079.

Table 5-1 Soil Erodibility Values (K) for Common Surface Textures

Textural Class	Organic Matter Content		
	< 2%	**> 2%**	**Average**
Clay	0.032	0.028	0.029
Clay Loam	0.044	0.037	0.040
Coarse Sandy Loam	-	0.009	0.009
Fine Sand	0.012	0.008	0.011
Fine Sandy Loam	0.029	0.022	0.024
Heavy Clay	0.025	0.020	0.022
Loam	0.045	0.038	0.040
Loamy Fine Sand	0.020	0.012	0.015
Loamy Sand	0.007	0.005	0.005
Loamy Very Fine Sand	0.058	0.033	0.051
Sand	**0.001**	0.003	0.001
Sandy Clay Loam	-	0.026	0.026
Sandy Loam	0.018	0.016	0.017
Silt Loam	0.054	0.049	0.050
Silty Clay	0.036	0.034	0.034
Silty Clay Loam	0.046	0.040	0.042
Very Fine Sand	**0.061**	0.049	0.057
Very Fine Sandy Loam	0.054	0.044	0.046

Based on *Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation for Application in Canada:* 1997, Wall et al.

From Table 4-1 it can be seen that the best soil at resisting erosion is sand (K= 0.001, highlighted in green) but the worst soil is very fine sand (K=0.061, highlighted in red).

This example illustrates the need to have a geotechnical professional quantify the soils based on particles size, as the same general types of soils (sands) can have very different K-values and subsequent impacts on soil erosion.

Note: Soil characteristics provided in these guidelines are meant only to provide general information on soils and are not acceptable for ESC submissions. The developer must attain K-values through site soil quantification by a geotechnical professional.

5.4.6 Topographical Assessment (LS-value)

The effect of topography on erosion is accounted for by the LS-value, which combines the effects of steepness of the overland flow path, the length of the path, and the profile shape of the flow path (e.g., how steepness varies along the path).

A site may have many different slopes, each of which may contribute differently to potential erosion. If more than a single slope or overland flow path exists, then each flow path must be analyzed separately.

The overland flow path on many natural landscapes follows a complex hillslope profile, where the upper part of the slope is convex (humped) and the lower part of the slope is concave (cupped). If the lower portion of the slope is sufficiently flat, then deposition may actually occur.

As hillslope length and hillslope gradient increase, soil loss increases. As hillslope length increases, total soil loss and soil loss per unit area increases due to the progressive accumulation of runoff in the downslope direction. As the hillslope gradient increases, the velocity and erosivity of runoff increases.

5.4.6.1 Interaction of Hill-Slope Length and Gradient

The hill-slope length (L) and gradient (S) terms are combined into a single topographic factor (LS), representing the ratio of soil loss from a given hill-slope length and gradient to soil loss from a defined unit plot. (See Appendix A: LS-values for more information.)

5.4.6.2 Non-uniform Hillslope Profiles

LS-values emphasize the importance of correctly identifying the configuration of the hillslope profile in question. Accurate measurements of the field characteristics will produce the most accurate estimates of the LS-value.

In many cases hillslope profiles are non-uniform, consisting of several segments of differing lengths, gradients, and shapes, which necessitate special handling in RUSLEFAC. The hillslope profile is divided into segments of uniform length and gradient characteristics, and the segments are calculated individually.

See Appendix A for more information on assessing LS-values and Appendix C for sample calculations.

5.4.7 Erosion Control (C-value)

Soil stabilization practices are the single most effective method to control erosion.

Fine sediment is difficult and expensive to manage; therefore, planning and implementing practices designed to **control stormwater, run on, and runoff** and **stabilize exposed soils** must be the primary objectives on all construction projects (See Appendix A for more information on C-values).

The C-value is one of the most influential variables in RUSLEFAC and represents a combined effect of surface cover (plants), soil biomass, and cover management practices implemented to reduce erosion. The purpose of source control is to prevent or minimize the detachment, entrainment, and transport of sediment. Good planning and implementation of temporary and permanent erosion control practices reduces the need for expensive, high-maintenance sediment control, and delivers significant cost savings and better compliance with environmental regulations.

As with other RUSLEFAC factors, the C-value is a ratio comparing the existing surface conditions at the site to the standard conditions of a unit plot.

The C-value for construction sites is affected by the following:

- Surface covers (e.g., temporary or permanent vegetation, hydromulching, aggregate cover, and rolled erosion control products)
- Soil biomass (all vegetative matter within the soil; residue helps to improve the flow of water into the soil and the soil water-holding capacity)

C-values are important during and immediately following construction because the topsoil is often stripped and stockpiled, causing a decrease in the incorporated biomass. Soil disturbance makes the soil more erodible because the soil is less consolidated, and stable aggregates are broken up. Vegetation cover on long-term topsoil stockpiles helps maintain the biological integrity of the topsoil, which will help provide an improved erosion control and growing medium when the topsoil is replaced during final site stabilization.

Note: C-values will vary based on slope, application rate, material, construction details, and percent coverage, among other variables. The ESC designer must provide supporting information for any C-value used (references from peer-reviewed journal or manufacturer's specifications with ASTM International [ASTM] testing completed) for practices and technologies in the ESC documentation. Refer to product manufacturer's specifications for product-specific C-values.

5.4.8 Sediment Control (P-value)

As stated, the first goal of an ESC practice is to avoid having to manage sediment in the first place by applying proper erosion control techniques. However, when sediment must be managed, the techniques described in this section are applicable.

The support practice value (P) in RUSLEFAC is the ratio of soil loss, with a specific support practice to the corresponding loss for slopes freshly tilled up and down the slope. The P factor is applied to proposed sediment control techniques with the RUSLEFAC equation.

Sediment controls can be divided into two categories:

1. **Filtering Controls**: Water is filtered through a porous filter media, allowing sediment to be trapped on the filter. However, it is very difficult to filter fine sediment while providing adequate flow rate. Soils in the Calgary area are not easily filtered once they are entrained in water because of their size and electrical charge.
2. **Settling and Impoundment:** Water is retained or detained, or velocity is slowed sufficiently, to allow sediment to settle out of suspension (through gravity). Settling of fine sediment can often be improved by the controlled addition and mixing of chemicals known as flocculants or coagulants.

Supporting structural practices include buffer strips of close-growing vegetation, surface roughening, sediment containment systems, and other soil management practices orientated on or near the contour that result in the collection and storage of moisture and reduction of runoff. In addition, many structures, such as gravel filters, silt fences, and bench terraces are used on construction sites to control or minimize sediment transport.

The effectiveness of sediment control depends on:

- Soil texture

- Sediment concentration in runoff
- Practices selected
- Installation, inspection, and maintenance of the practices

Sediment controls are generally only useful for retaining larger particles in low-volume, low-velocity runoff. The effectiveness of sediment control decreases rapidly with decreasing particle size, increasing runoff volumes and sediment loadings in runoff.

Sediment control is generally least effective when the need for sediment control is highest (e.g., during intense rain events with high rates of runoff and sediment transport.)

> Note: The P-value may be the least accurate and most subject to error of all the factors in RUSLEFAC. The ESC designer must provide supporting information (such P-value references, and field and laboratory data) for practices and technologies in the ESC documentation. Refer to product manufacturer's specifications for product-specific P-values.

Appendix A: RUSLE Values Determination

Particulate Sedimentation Times

Particulates in a solution settle out according to Stokes Law.

The settling velocity (v) of a particle in metres per second is described as:

$$v = gd^2 \frac{(\gamma_s - \gamma_f)}{18\vartheta\gamma_f}$$

Where:

g = gravitational acceleration

d = particle diameter

γ_s and γ_f are specific gravity of solid and fluid, respectively

ϑ = kinematic viscosity of fluid

For example, by doubling the size of the particle, the settling velocity is increased by a factor of 4, or the time needed to settle out the particle is reduced by ¾. This is important because the amount of time needed for a soil to completely settle out depends very much on the sizes of the particles within the soil. Some of the particles might settle quickly (gravels and sands), while others may take days to settle (fine silts and clays).

Table A-1 is based on the time it takes a certain sized particle to settle 0.5 m; the design depth for sediment ponds in Calgary. From Table A-1 you can see that it would be impractical to assume that a pond would settle out clay particles, as the particles would take 285 hours (11.9 days) to settle out. A pond might be effective for certain sized silts, however, as it would take from 0.25 to 2.8 hours for silts to settle out. Clay soil erosion is much better mitigated using cover techniques rather than sediment control.

Table A-1 Soil Particulate Settling Times (based on Alberta Transportation Appendix G Sediment Containment System Design Rationale (March 18, 2003)

Particle Size	Settling Velocity	Time to Settle 0.5 m
Clay: dia. <0.002 mm	4.87×10^{-5} cm/s	285 hours
Silt (fine) dia.= 0.01 mm	4.9×10^{-3} cm/s	2.83 hours
Silt (coarse): dia. = 0.05 mm	1.22×10^{-1} cm/s	13.6 minutes
Sand (fine): dia. = 0.1 mm	4.76×10^{-1} cm/s	3.5 minutes

Soil Types in the Calgary Area

Soils may be broadly defined as organic or mineral.

The dominant organic soil classification in Calgary is Black Chernozemic. Alberta Agriculture and Forestry describes (1994) Black Chernozemic soils as:

> *"…associated with grassland areas with the most available moisture and cooler temperatures. These soils are characterized by the presence of a black surface horizon that is 12 to 20 cm thick with organic matter generally in the range of 6 to 10 percent."*

The Calgary area also has many types of mineral soils ranging from small, colloidal clays, all the way up to large, glacial erratics of 2-3 metres (m) in width or larger (like the Big Rock in Okotoks, Alberta). A developer in the Calgary area might find any of the following mineral soils on their site:

- Clays
- Silts
- Sands
- Gravels
- Loess (wind deposited silts)

Variables that Affect K-value

Soils have different characteristics that impact erodibility. Mineral soils may be described in different ways by different experts. Referring to Figure A-1, there are at least four different classifications systems commonly in use today. They share some similarities around:

- What they call different soils; broadly, they describe cobbles and gravels, sands, silts, and clays
- Use of particle size as the delineator between one type of soil and another



Figure A-1 Soil Classification Systems (Handbook of Hydrology, David R. Maidment, 1992)

The RUSLE equation was designed for agricultural purposes and subscribes to the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) soil classification system. The USDA soil system is concerned with soil characteristics that impact soil erodibility.

The system often used for construction however, is based on ASTM International standards. The ASTM system is not designed to quantify the potential of a soil to erode, but it is the one used by geotechnical consultants. The ASTM system looks at soil characteristics from a construction point of view. A soil report from a geotechnical consultant, therefore, would most likely discuss the site soils in terms of ASTM and not USDA definitions.

What's important to realize is that both the USDA and ASTM systems collect the same particle size information but package it differently for the client. A client that is aware of this can therefore proactively ask for the same information to be presented in different manners. This might be just asking to ensure that the right sized soil sieves are used to ensure a certain fraction of particles is captured.

When the erosion and sediment control (ESC) designer is ready to classify a soil from an ESC perspective, the ESC designer must translate the ASTM information into USDA information to use RUSLEFAC. The most important difference is in quantifying the amount of very fine sand, which is a key variable in *soil texture* and soil erodibility. Delineating very fine sand means ensuring that ASTM 40, 60, and 140 size sieves are utilized in the particle analysis (see Figure A-1) so that the percentage of very fine sand can be found.

Other factors that impact soil erodibility are listed in Figure A-2.

Variable	Description and Function	Effect on erosion	Management Implications
Soil texture	- size and distribution of the available soil particles - smaller particles, *once detached*, are easily transported - texture of a soil influences runoff amount and rate	- erodibility increases with silt plus very fine sand content (particles easily detached, readily form crusts which decrease infiltration, increase runoff (see Table 3.2)	- type of soil may limit: - agricultural uses - crops that can be grown - management systems
Organic matter content	- amount of humus present - organic material helps to bind the soil particles together - affects water-holding capacity of soil, influences infiltration/runoff amounts	- soils with high organic matter content more erosion resistant, hold more water - low organic matter = low erosion resistance	- maintenance of adequate organic matter levels (through residue and/or manure management) reduces erosion risk, increases fertility (which in turn can increase crop vigour/cover, increase soil protection...)
Structure	- the arrangement of soil particles and aggregates - gives an indication of how strongly the soil particles "bind" together to resist erosion	- soils which do not break down easily yet allow infiltration more erosion-resistant	
Permeability	- affects the amount of water that will infiltrate into the soil as opposed to flowing downslope or ponding on the surface	- better infiltration = less runoff, less erosion (e.g. medium and coarse sand)	- practices which lead to the development of consolidated, impermeable layers or ploughpans increase the risk of soil erosion
Seasonality	- soil characteristics that may vary on a seasonal basis and affect erodibility include water content, bulk density, structure, permeability, biological activity, and drainage	- soils tend to be most susceptible in spring (especially during thaw conditions - saturated, less dense soils over frozen soils with low permeability) - least erodible in fall (dry, consolidated after growing season)	- better cover (standing and/or residue), rougher surfaces in spring can help stabilize soil, reduce erosion

Figure A-2 Variables That Affect K-value Source: Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, 2002

Determination of K-values

The physical makeup of a soil determines its propensity to erode. A soil may be made of many different sized particles, and those particles may have become stuck together into larger aggregate particles called peds. Both the size of the individual particles and the size of the aggregate peds are important in determining K-values.

Step 1: Determine the size of the particles for every soil type on the proposed site:

The size of all the particles can be determined by using a sieve analysis or by manual methods used by trained ESC professionals. The following percentages are required:

- Percent (%) silt and very fine sand in a sample by weight (0.002 - to 0.10 mm diameter),
- Percent (%) sand by weight (0.10 - to 2.0 mm diameter)
- Percent (%) clay (less than 0.002 mm diameter)
- Percent (%) organic matter (by weight)

Based on the percent clay and percent sand (as defined above) the ESC designer can quickly find the soil texture class.

The soil texture triangle allows determination of soil properties using lab results (objective) rather than field observations which should only be done by qualified ESC specialists.

Step 2: Determine the soil structure

Soil structure defines the frequency and shape of gaps between the soil aggregate peds. These gaps can encourage water to flow through cracks and crevices and increase the rate of erosion.

Soil Structure is determined by using the information in Figure A-3 to describe the soil as:

- Very fine granular = 1
- Fine granular = 2
- Medium or coarse granular = 3
- Blocky, platy, or massive =4



Figure A-3 Soil Structure Based on Soil Texture (RUSLEFAC)

Step 3: Determine the soil permeability

Related to soil structure is permeability which describes how easily water would flow through the soil.

Soil permeability is determined by using the information in Figure A-4 to describe the soil's permeability as:

- Rapid = 1
- Moderate to rapid = 2
- Moderate = 3
- Slow to moderate = 4
- Slow = 5
- Very slow =6



Figure A-4 Soil Permeability Based on Soil Texture (RUSLEFAC)

Step 4: Record the K-value

Example: A soil sample is run through a number of sieves and the following particle size distribution is reported as:

- **Percent Silt and Very Fine Sand: 45%**
- **Percent Sand: 25%**
- **Percent Clay: (by calculation) = 100% -45%-25%= 30%**

- Percent sand: Starting at the bottom of the Soil Texture Triangle (see Figure A-5), the ESC designer finds the value for 25% Sand and then strikes a line diagonally up (blue line)
- Percent clay: The ESC designer then finds the value for 30% clay on the left side of the triangle and strikes a line to the right (green line). The green line crosses the blue line in the area marked “clay loam”.
- This soil is defined as having a Clay Loam texture. This definition is used to find soil structure and permeability.
- Organic matter: the geotechnical report determined that there is no organic material in the soil.
- Soil Structure: Knowing the soil texture is Clay Loam, the ESC designer finds the Clay Loam section on Figure A-5 to determine soil structure. In this case it is region 4 (contained within the orange border), indicating that the soil has Type 4 structure, and is described as “blocky, platy, massive”.



Figure A-5 Soil Structure Determination (Based on RUSLEFAC, 1997, Wall et al)

- Soil Permeability: The soil permeability is determined knowing that the soil texture is a Clay Loam. In Figure A-6 the ESC designer finds the area marked “Clay Loam”. The ESC designer then confirms the region of the graph that the soil permeability is located in. In this case it is region 4 (contained within the orange border), indicating that the soil has slow to moderate permeability.



Figure A-6 Soil Permeability Determination (Based on RUSLEFAC, 1997, Wall et al)

The permeability is defined as Type 4, slow to moderate.

Determining K-values:

Using the Foster Nomograph (Figure A-7), do the following:

- Starting at the left of the page, find the **PERCENT SILT AND VERY FINE SAND** mark (45%).
- Move horizontally right across the nomograph until you intersect the **PERCENT SAND** mark (25%). Interpolation between curves is allowed.
- Now move up vertically until you intersect the % OM (Organic Matter) (0% in this case).
- Move horizontally to the right now.
- Continue moving to the right until you intersect the **SOIL STRUCTURE** mark (Type 4, blocky, platy).
- Move directly down until you hit the **PERMEABILITY** mark (4, slow to moderate).
- Now move to the left horizontally to find the **SOIL ERODIBILITY FACTOR** (final K-value) (0.49).



Figure A-7 Soil Erodibility Nomograph (Foster et al. 1981)

In summary, the K-value for this soil is 0.049.

The Qualified Designer must not rely on historical values. Each site needs to define the K-value for the different soil types that will be encountered during construction.

LS-value Determination

Information provided in this section comes from *Predicting Soil Erosion by Water: A Guide to Conservation Planning with the Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation Agricultural Handbook No. 703,* (K.G. Renard et al., 1997).

Once the ESC designer has determined the potential for a soil to erode, the topography of the soil is assessed. The effect of topography on erosion in RUSLEFAC is accounted for by the LS-value.

Soils erode when exposed soil comes into contact with water droplets. The energy of the falling water breaks bonds between the particles (described by the K-value), and the water medium provides a transport mechanism to carry the soil particles away. As the water flows down the slope, it gains more kinetic energy that can lead to even more erosion. Finally, the water gains enough energy to form rills and gullies.

Soils located on long, steep slopes will tend to erode more than comparable soils on short, flatter slopes or in level areas.

The topography of the construction site, including lengths and gradients of slopes, must be documented for both predevelopment and post-development conditions.

Definitions

Slope Length is the horizontal distance of a segment of slope to be analyzed.

Slope Gradient (also just called “grade” or “slope”) equals the change in vertical elevation over a slope segment divided by the horizontal slope length of the same segment (Figure A-8), given in percent (e.g., a 45° slope is defined as 100%.)



$$\text{Slope (\%)} = \frac{\text{Top elevation – bottom elevation (metres)}}{\text{Slope Length (metres)}} \times 100$$

Figure A-8 Definition of Slope Length and Slope Grade

Choosing a Slope Length (Uniform Slopes)

A uniform slope is one where the steepness (slope), soil type, and cover management conditions are comparable everywhere along the slope. A uniform slope is assumed in many RUSLEFAC applications.

Slope length is defined as the horizontal length from the origin of overland flow (often, the top of a hill or break in grade) to the point where either:

- The slope gradient decreases enough to allow deposition of soil
- The runoff becomes concentrated in a defined channel

The minimum and maximum lengths of a slope are defined by the slope's ability, when hit by rainfall, to form rills and then consolidate those rills into full channel flow.

L_{min}= 4.6 m (15 feet), below this length, sheet flow is expected and will normally only form rills after 4.6 m

L_{max}= 122 m (400 feet), above this length, the rills are expected to consolidate into channels (gullies)

Once the slope length of a uniform slope is determined and the slope gradient calculated, the LS-value is selected from Table LS-3.

Table LS-3 assumes the land being assessed is a freshly prepared construction site (stripped of organic soils).

Table LS-3. Values for Topographic Factor (LS-value) for a High Ratio of Rill: Inter-rill Erosion

	Slope Length in metres													
Slope %	**1**	**2**	**4.57**	**5**	**10**	**15**	**25**	**50**	**75**	**100**	**150**	**200**	**250**	**300**
0.2	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06
0.5	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.09	0.10	0.10	0.11	0.11	0.12	0.12	0.13
1	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.11	0.12	0.14	0.17	0.19	0.20	0.23	0.24	0.26	0.27
2	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.14	0.18	0.21	0.26	0.34	0.40	0.44	0.52	0.58	0.64	0.68
3	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.24	0.29	0.37	0.52	0.63	0.72	0.88	1.01	1.12	1.22
4	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.21	0.30	0.38	0.49	0.71	0.88	1.03	1.28	1.49	1.67	1.84
5	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.24	0.36	0.46	0.61	0.91	1.14	1.35	1.70	2.01	2.28	2.53
6	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.28	0.42	0.54	0.73	1.11	1.42	1.68	2.15	2.56	2.93	3.27
7	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.31	0.48	0.61	0.85	1.31	1.69	2.03	2.62	3.14	3.61	4.05
8	0.32	0.32	0.32	0.34	0.53	0.69	0.96	1.51	1.97	2.38	3.09	3.73	4.31	4.86
9	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.37	0.59	0.78	1.09	1.73	2.27	2.75	3.61	4.37	5.08	5.73
10	0.35	0.36	0.40	0.42	0.68	0.90	1.27	2.04	2.69	3.28	4.32	5.26	6.13	6.94
12	0.36	0.40	0.49	0.53	0.86	1.14	1.64	2.67	3.56	4.36	5.80	7.11	8.32	9.46
14	0.38	0.44	0.58	0.62	1.03	1.38	2.00	3.30	4.43	5.45	7.32	9.01	10.59	12.09
16	0.39	0.47	0.67	0.72	1.20	1.62	2.36	3.93	5.31	6.57	8.86	10.96	12.92	14.79
20	0.41	0.53	0.84	0.90	1.53	2.08	3.07	5.20	7.07	8.81	11.99	14.92	17.69	20.32
22	0.43	0.57	0.92	0.99	1.69	2.31	3.42	5.82	7.95	9.93	13.56	16.92	20.09	23.11
25	0.45	0.62	1.04	1.12	1.92	2.64	3.93	6.75	9.26	11.59	15.91	19.91	23.70	27.32
30	0.48	0.69	1.24	1.33	2.30	3.18	4.77	8.26	11.40	14.33	19.77	24.84	29.65	34.27
40	0.53	0.83	1.59	1.71	3.01	4.19	6.34	11.13	15.46	19.53	27.15	34.30	41.11	47.67
50	0.58	0.95	1.91	2.06	3.65	5.09	7.75	13.72	19.17	24.29	33.93	43.00	51.68	60.05
60	0.63	1.07	2.19	2.36	4.21	5.89	9.01	16.04	22.48	28.55	40.00	50.82	61.18	71.20

Source: RUSLEFAC Handbook, Agriculture Canada (modified by: Joe Buchner, CPESC)

Example: A slope drops a distance of 7 m over a slope length of 87 m. The slope gradient is calculated as:

$$\text{Slope (\%)} = (7/87) \times 100\% = 8.05\ \%$$

Looking at Table LS-3 and extrapolating gives us an LS-value of 2.17 for an 8% slope of 87 m in length.

LS-values for Thawing Ground

When the RUSLEFAC analysis period includes a time of year when the soil will be frozen, Table LS-4 is used for determining the LS value.

Table LS-4. Values for Topographic Factor (LS-value) for thawing soils where most of the erosion is caused by surface flow (using m=0.5).

Slope (%)	Slope length in meters											
	2	5	10	15	25	50	75	100	150	200	250	300
0.2	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.08	0.09	0.11	0.13	0.14	0.16
0.5	0.02	0.04	0.06	0.07	0.09	0.12	0.15	0.18	0.21	0.25	0.28	0.30
1	0.04	0.07	0.09	0.11	0.15	0.21	0.25	0.29	0.36	0.41	0.46	0.50
2	0.07	0.12	0.17	0.20	0.26	0.37	0.45	0.52	0.64	0.74	0.83	0.90
3	0.11	0.17	0.24	0.29	0.37	0.53	0.65	0.75	0.92	1.06	1.18	1.30
4	0.14	0.22	0.31	0.38	0.49	0.69	0.84	0.97	1.19	1.38	1.54	1.68
5	0.17	0.27	0.38	0.47	0.60	0.85	1.05	1.21	1.48	1.71	1.91	2.09
6	0.20	0.32	0.45	0.55	0.72	1.01	1.24	1.43	1.75	2.02	2.26	2.48
8	0.27	0.42	0.60	0.73	0.94	1.33	1.63	1.88	2.31	2.66	2.98	3.26
10	0.33	0.52	0.74	0.91	1.17	1.66	2.03	2.34	2.87	3.31	3.70	4.05
12	0.36	0.56	0.79	0.97	1.26	1.78	2.18	2.51	3.08	3.55	3.97	4.35
14	0.39	0.61	0.87	1.06	1.37	1.94	2.38	2.75	3.37	3.89	4.35	4.76
16	0.42	0.66	0.94	1.15	1.49	2.10	2.57	2.97	3.64	4.20	4.70	5.15
20	0.48	0.76	1.07	1.31	1.69	2.39	2.93	3.39	4.15	4.79	5.36	5.87
25	0.54	0.86	1.22	1.49	1.92	2.72	3.33	3.84	4.71	5.44	6.08	6.66
30	0.60	0.95	1.35	1.65	2.13	3.01	3.69	4.26	5.21	6.02	6.73	7.37
40	0.70	1.11	1.57	1.92	2.48	3.51	4.30	4.97	6.08	7.02	7.85	8.60
50	0.79	1.24	1.76	2.15	2.78	3.93	4.81	5.55	6.80	7.85	8.78	9.62
60	0.85	1.35	1.91	2.34	3.02	4.27	5.23	6.04	7.40	8.54	9.55	10.46

Source: RUSLEFAC Handbook, Agriculture Canada

Using the same example for a uniform slope but now with the ground frozen and thawing produces:

Slope Gradient = 8.05 %, Slope Length = 87 m

Looking at Table LS-4, we now get an LS-value of 1.76.

LS-values for Complex Slopes

Complex Slopes

A complex slope is one where the slope is not uniform, or the soil type(s) and land use conditions change along it. These factors can all lead to erosion rates many times higher than on comparable constant-slope hillsides.

Complex slopes may have both convex and concave sections. A convex slope is one where the slope becomes steeper the further downhill you go. Erosion rates at the end of a convex slope can be extremely high. A concave slope is one where the steepness decreases along the slope. Concave slopes can become so flat that soil deposition may occur, which can reduce the amount of sediment leaving the slope.

The overland flow path on many natural landscapes follows a complex hillslope profile, where the upper part of the slope is convex and the lower part of the slope is concave. The slope must then be divided into two parts, an eroding portion and a depositional portion (Figure A-10). (The RUSLEFAC equation is only applied to the eroding portion of the slope.)



Figure A-9 Soil Loss, deposition and sediment yield from complex slope, concave-convex shape
Source: USDA, May 2008, RUSLE2 User's Reference Guide

Non-uniform/ Complex Hillslope Profiles

In many cases, hillslope profiles are complex, consisting of several segments of differing lengths, gradients (slopes), and shapes, which necessitate special handling in RUSLEFAC. The hillslope profile is divided into segments of uniform length and gradient characteristics, and the segments are calculated individually.

LS-values emphasize the importance of correctly identifying the configuration of the hillslope profile in question. Accurate measurements of the field characteristics will produce the most accurate estimates of the LS-value, especially for non-uniform hillslope profiles consisting of more than one segment.

The simplest irregular slope case is for soil and cover to be consistent along the slope. To apply the irregular slope procedure, the following steps are taken:

- Step 1: Divide the convex, concave, and complex slopes into three to five equal-length segments.
- Step 2: Determine the average slope for each segment
  - List the segments in the order in which they occur on the slope, beginning at the top of the slope
- Step 3: From Table LS-3 determine the original LS value for each segment ($LS_{init.}$)
- Step 4: From Table LS-5 determine the slope length exponent (for high rill /interill ratios) (m)
- Step 5: From Table LS-6 determine the slope loss factor (SLF) based on sequence of the slope (1,2,3)
- Step 6: Multiply each segment's revised LS value by its slope length factor divided by the number of segments. This is the revised LS value for each segment $LS_{rev.}$
  - $LS_{rev.} = (LS_{init.} \times SLF)/$ number of segments

- Step 7: Add all the revised LS values to determine the cumulative total LS value for the entire slope
  - $LS_{total} = \Sigma (LS_{rev.})$

Example: the ESC designer is presented with the following slope (Figure A-10):



Figure A-10 LS Determination for an Irregular Slope Example

- The slope is flat (concave) at the top, then becomes very steep before ending in a convex depositional area.
- Step 1: divide the 75 m slope into equal sections. In this case the ESC designer chose to divide the slope into three, 25 m sections
- Step 2: determine the slopes for each 25 m section
  - Segment 1: Slope (%) = [(1110 m – 1108 m)/ 25 m] x 100= 8%
  - Segment 2: Slope (%) = [(1108 m – 1101 m)/25 m] = 28%
  - Segment 3: Slope (%) = [(1101 m – 1100 m)/25 m] = 4%
- Step 3: Using Table LS-3, find the original LS value for each segment
  - Segment 1: 8% slope and 25 m length, LS = 0.96
  - Segment 2: 28% slope and 25 m length, LS = 4.44(extrapolated)
  - Segment 3: 4% slope and 25 m length, LS= 0.42

- Step 4: Using Table LS-5 determine the slope length exponent (m) for each segment, assuming high Rill/ Interrill Ratios (exposed slopes)
  - Segment 1: Slope = 8%, m = 0.65

- Segment 2: Slope =28%, m = 0.79
- Segment 3: Slope = 4%, m = 0.53

Table LS-5. Slope length exponents for a range of slopes and rill/interrill erosion classes.

Slope Steepness (%)	Slope Length Exponent, m		
	Rill/Interrill Ratio â		
	Low*	Moderate†	High‡
0.2	0.02	0.04	0.07
0.5	0.04	0.08	0.16
1	0.08	0.15	0.26
2	0.14	0.24	0.39
3	0.18	0.31	0.47
4	0.22	0.36	0.53
5	0.25	0.40	0.57
6	0.28	0.43	0.60
8	0.32	0.48	0.65
10	0.35	0.52	0.68
12	0.37	0.55	0.71
14	0.40	0.57	0.72
16	0.41	0.59	0.74
20	0.44	0.61	0.76
25	0.47	0.64	0.78
30	0.49	0.66	0.79
40	0.52	0.68	0.81
50	0.54	0.70	0.82
60	0.55	0.71	0.83

* conditions where rill erosion is slight with respect to rill erosion; generally C factors would be less than 0.15
† conditions where rill and interrill erosion would be about equal on a 22.1m long slope in seedbed condition on a 9% slope
‡ conditions where rill erosion is great with respect to interrill erosion; generally C factors would be greater than 7.0

(Source: McCool et al., 1989)

- Step 5: Using the m values obtained in Step 4, and using Table LS-6, determine the soil loss factor (SLF) for each segment
  - Segment 1: m = 0.65, SLF = 0.50
  - Segment 2: m = 0.79, SLF = 1.03
  - Segment 3: m = 0.53, SLF = 1.39
- Step 6: For each segment, multiple it's original LS value by its SLF factor, then divide by the total number of segments to determine the revised LS value for each slope segment
  - Segment 1: (0.96 x 0.50)/ 3 = 0.16
  - Segment 2: (4.65 x 1.03)/3 = 1.597
  - Segment 3: (0.49 x 1.39)/3 = 0.23

Table LS-6. Soil Loss Factors for Irregular Slopes

# of Segments	Sequence # of Segment (i)	Soil Loss Factor (SLF) value of m: 0.02	0.06	0.1	0.15	0.2	0.25	0.3	0.35	0.4	0.45	0.5	0.55	0.6	0.64	0.7	0.75	0.8	0.85	0.9
2	1	0.99	0.96	0.93	0.90	0.87	0.84	0.81	0.78	0.76	0.73	0.71	0.68	0.66	0.64	0.62	0.59	0.57	0.55	0.54
	2	1.01	1.04	1.07	1.10	1.13	1.16	1.19	1.22	1.24	1.27	1.29	1.32	1.34	1.36	1.38	1.41	1.43	1.45	1.46
3	1	0.98	0.94	0.90	0.85	0.80	0.76	0.72	0.68	0.64	0.61	0.58	0.55	0.52	0.50	0.46	0.44	0.42	0.39	0.37
	2	1.01	1.02	1.02	1.03	1.04	1.05	1.05	1.05	1.06	1.06	1.06	1.05	1.05	1.05	1.04	1.04	1.03	1.02	1.02
	3	1.02	1.05	1.08	1.12	1.16	1.19	1.23	1.26	1.30	1.33	1.37	1.40	1.43	1.46	1.49	1.52	1.55	1.58	1.61
4	1	0.97	0.92	0.87	0.81	0.76	0.71	0.66	0.62	0.57	0.54	0.50	0.47	0.44	0.41	0.38	0.35	0.33	0.31	0.29
	2	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.99	0.98	0.97	0.96	0.95	0.94	0.93	0.91	0.90	0.88	0.87	0.85	0.84	0.82	0.80	0.78
	3	1.01	1.03	1.05	1.07	1.09	1.11	1.13	1.14	1.16	1.17	1.18	1.19	1.20	1.21	1.22	1.23	1.23	1.24	1.24
	4	1.02	1.05	1.09	1.13	1.17	1.21	1.25	1.29	1.33	1.36	1.40	1.44	1.48	1.50	1.55	1.58	1.62	1.65	1.68
5	1	0.97	0.91	0.85	0.79	0.72	0.67	0.62	0.57	0.53	0.48	0.45	0.41	0.38	0.36	0.32	0.30	0.28	0.25	0.23
	2	1.00	0.99	0.97	0.96	0.94	0.92	0.90	0.88	0.86	0.84	0.82	0.80	0.77	0.76	0.73	0.71	0.69	0.66	0.64
	3	1.01	1.02	1.03	1.04	1.04	1.05	1.05	1.06	1.06	1.06	1.06	1.06	1.05	1.05	1.05	1.04	1.03	1.03	1.02
	4	1.01	1.04	1.06	1.09	1.12	1.14	1.17	1.19	1.21	1.23	1.25	1.27	1.29	1.30	1.32	1.34	1.35	1.37	1.38
	5	1.02	1.05	1.09	1.13	1.17	1.22	1.26	1.30	1.34	1.38	1.42	1.46	1.50	1.53	1.58	1.62	1.65	1.69	1.73

Source: RUSLEFAC Handbook, Agriculture Canada

- Step 7: add all the revised slope segment LS's together to determine the total LS for the irregular slope
  - LS total = LS1 + LS2 + LS3= 0.16+1.597+0.23 = 1.98

Table A-3 Summarizes the process:

Table A-3 Irregular Slope Example Calculation

Column 1	Column 2	Column 3	Column 4	Column 5	Column 6
Segment n = 3	**Slope (Gradient)**	**LS value LS-3**	**Slope Length Exponent (m) LS-5**	**Soil Loss Factor (SLF) LS-6**	**LS*SLF/n n = 3**
1	(2/25)*100= 8%	0.96	m = 0.65	SLF= 0.50	(0.96 x 0.50)/3 = 0.16
2	(7/25)*100= 8%	4.65	m = 0.79	SLF= 1.03	(4.65 x 1.03)/3 = 1.59
3	(1/25)*100= 4%	0.49	m = 0.53	SLF= 1.39	(0.49 x 1.39)/3 = 0.23
3 segments total				LS Total	**1.98**

Erosion Control: C-value Determination

The Qualified Designer must provide supporting information for any C-value used (references from peer-reviewed journal or manufacturer's specifications with ASTM International [ASTM] testing completed) for practices and technologies in the ESC documentation. Refer to product manufacturer's specifications for product-specific C-values. C-values will vary based on slope, application rate, material, construction details, and percent cover, among other variables.

For example, per Table C-5 of the RUSLEFAC Handbook, a ground cover of 80 percent or more of established grass with no appreciable canopy corresponds to a C-value of 0.01; whereas, a 20 percent grass ground cover corresponds to a C-value of 0.2 (Wischmeier and Smith, 1978).

Table A-4. C Values for Permanent Pasture, Range, and Idle Land (based on RUSLEFAC 1997)

Vegetative Canopy Type and Height	Percent cover	Type	Cover that contacts the soil surface Percent ground cover					
			0	20	40	60	80	95+
No appreciable canopy		G	0.45	0.20	0.10	0.04	0.01	0.00
		W	0.45	0.24	0.15	0.09	0.04	0.01
Tall weeds or short brush with average drop fall height of 20 inches	25	G	0.36	0.17	0.09	0.04	0.01	0.00
		W	0.36	0.20	0.13	0.08	0.04	0.01
	50	G	0.26	0.13	0.07	0.35	0.01	0.00
		W	0.26	0.16	0.11	0.08	0.04	0.01
	75	G	0.17	0.10	0.06	0.03	0.01	0.00
		W	0.17	0.12	0.09	0.07	0.04	0.01
Appreciable brush or bushes, with average drop fall height of 6 1/2 feet	25	G	0.40	0.18	0.09	0.04	0.01	0.00
		W	0.40	0.22	0.14	0.09	0.04	0.01
	50	G	0.34	0.16	0.08	0.04	0.01	0.00
		W	0.34	0.19	0.13	0.08	0.04	0.01
	75	G	0.28	0.14	0.08	0.04	0.01	0.00
		W	0.28	0.17	0.13	0.08	0.04	0.01
Trees, but no appreciable low brush. Average drop fall of 13 feet	25	G	0.42	0.19	0.10	0.04	0.01	0.00
		W	0.42	0.23	0.14	0.09	0.04	0.01
	50	G	0.39	0.18	0.09	0.04	0.01	0.00
		W	0.39	0.21	0.14	0.09	0.04	0.01
	75	G	0.36	0.17	0.09	0.04	0.01	0.00
		W	0.36	0.20	0.13	0.08	0.04	0.01

Vegetation and mulch randomly distributed over area; G - grasses, W - broadleaf weeds; Canopy height - average drop fall height of water falling from canopy to ground (negligible if height greater than 33 feet)

Figure A-12 represents different coverage of an area and can help to visually confirm percent ground cover by grass or mulch.



Figure A-11 Percent ground cover by grass or mulch

Appendix B: Example ESC Drawings and RUSLEFAC/Pond Data



LEGEND:

	CONSTRUCTION BOUNDARY
	EXISTING GROUND CONTOURS MINOR – 0.5m INTERVAL
1207.0	EXISTING GROUND CONTOURS MAJOR – 1.0m INTERVAL
	DRAINAGE DIVIDES
A 0.00	DRAINAGE DIVIDE LABEL DRAINAGE DIVIDE AREA IN ha
	OVERLAND FLOW DIRECTION
	RUN–ON / RUN–OFF LOCATIONS
	STORM MAIN
	SOLID TOP MANHOLE
	GRATED TOP MANHOLE
	CATCH BASIN
	CONCRETE SWALE
	VEGETATION
	HYDROMULCH (200.1.4) (INSERT PRODUCT NAME HERE)
	SURFACE ROUGHENING (200.2.5)
	SEDIMENT TRAP (200.2.2)
	STABILIZED GRAVEL ACCESS (200.3.1)
	CONCRETE WALKWAYS/ASPHALT PATHWAY
	PAVEMENT
	SILT FENCE (200.2.6)
	TREES – INDIVIDUAL
	TREES – OUTLINE
	RETAINING WALL
	12' STRAW WATTLE (200.2.1)

CITY OF CALGARY OFFICE USE

MIN SIZE 5cm X 8cm

CITY FILE No. DA2017–1234

No	YY–MM	ISSUE	BY	APP
A	17–01	PRELIMINARY APPROVAL	GG	PB

SEAL

SEAL

PERMIT TO PRACTICE

OWNER

CONSULTANT

PROJECT NAME

PROJECT NAME
PHASE 1

DRAWING TITLE

BEFORE STRIPPING & GRADING

SCALE 1:1000 (0 5 10 20 30m)

DESIGN RC	DATE 2017–01–28		
DRAWN GG	PROJECT NUMBER CGY–00081296–00		
CHECKED TA	DRAWING CODE ESC1	ISS A	REV 0
APPROVED PB			

Calgary



LEGEND:

- CONSTRUCTION BOUNDARY
- EXISTING GROUND CONTOURS MINOR – 0.5m INTERVAL
- 1207.0 EXISTING GROUND CONTOURS MAJOR – 1.0m INTERVAL
- DRAINAGE DIVIDES
- A 0.00 DRAINAGE DIVIDE LABEL DRAINAGE DIVIDE AREA IN ha
- OVERLAND FLOW DIRECTION
- RUN–ON / RUN–OFF LOCATIONS
- STORM MAIN
- SOLID TOP MANHOLE
- GRATED TOP MANHOLE
- CATCH BASIN
- CONCRETE SWALE
- VEGETATION
- HYDROMULCH (200.1.4) (INSERT PRODUCT NAME HERE)
- SURFACE ROUGHENING (200.2.5)
- SEDIMENT TRAP (200.2.2)
- STABILIZED GRAVEL ACCESS (200.3.1)
- CONCRETE WALKWAYS/ASPHALT PATHWAY
- PAVEMENT
- SILT FENCE (200.2.6)
- TREES – INDIVIDUAL
- TREES – OUTLINE
- RETAINING WALL
- 12" STRAW WATTLE (200.2.1)

CITY OF CALGARY OFFICE USE

MIN SIZE 5cm X 8cm

CITY FILE No. DA2017–1234

No	YY–MM	ISSUE	BY	APP
A	17–01	PRELIMINARY APPROVAL	GG	PB

SEAL

SEAL

PERMIT TO PRACTICE

OWNER

CONSULTANT

PROJECT NAME

PROJECT NAME
PHASE 1

DRAWING TITLE

DURING STRIPPING & GRADING

SCALE 1:1000
0 5 10 20 30m

DESIGN RC	DATE 2017–01–28		
DRAWN GG	PROJECT NUMBER CGY–00081296–00		
CHECKED TA	DRAWING CODE ESC2	ISS A	REV 0
APPROVED PB			

Calgary



LEGEND:

	CONSTRUCTION BOUNDARY
	EXISTING GROUND CONTOURS MINOR – 0.5m INTERVAL
1207.0	EXISTING GROUND CONTOURS MAJOR – 1.0m INTERVAL
	DRAINAGE DIVIDES
A 0.00	DRAINAGE DIVIDE LABEL DRAINAGE DIVIDE AREA IN ha
	OVERLAND FLOW DIRECTION
	RUN–ON / RUN–OFF LOCATIONS
	STORM MAIN
	SOLID TOP MANHOLE
	GRATED TOP MANHOLE
	CATCH BASIN
	CONCRETE SWALE
	VEGETATION
	HYDROMULCH (200.1.4) (INSERT PRODUCT NAME HERE)
	SURFACE ROUGHENING (200.2.5)
	SEDIMENT TRAP (200.2.2)
	STABILIZED GRAVEL ACCESS (200.3.1)
	CONCRETE WALKWAYS/ASPHALT PATHWAY
	PAVEMENT
	SILT FENCE (200.2.6)
	TREES – INDIVIDUAL
	TREES – OUTLINE
	RETAINING WALL
	12' STRAW WATTLE (200.2.1)

CITY OF CALGARY OFFICE USE

MIN SIZE 5cm X 8cm

CITY FILE No. DA2017–1234

No	YY–MM	ISSUE	BY	APP
A	17–01	PRELIMINARY APPROVAL	GG	PB

SEAL

SEAL

PERMIT TO PRACTICE

OWNER

CONSULTANT

PROJECT NAME

PROJECT NAME
PHASE 1

DRAWING TITLE

POST STRIPPING & GRADING

SCALE 1:1000
0 5 10 20 30m

DESIGN RC	DATE 2017–01–28		
DRAWN GG	PROJECT NUMBER CGY–00081296–00		
CHECKED TA	DRAWING CODE ESC3	ISS A	REV 0
APPROVED PB			

Calgary



LEGEND:

- CONSTRUCTION BOUNDARY
- EXISTING GROUND CONTOURS MINOR – 0.5m INTERVAL
- EXISTING GROUND CONTOURS MAJOR – 1.0m INTERVAL
- DRAINAGE DIVIDES
- DRAINAGE DIVIDE LABEL / DRAINAGE DIVIDE AREA IN ha
- OVERLAND FLOW DIRECTION
- RUN–ON / RUN–OFF LOCATIONS
- STORM MAIN
- SOLID TOP MANHOLE
- GRATED TOP MANHOLE
- CATCH BASIN
- CONCRETE SWALE
- BUILDING (ROOF)
- CONCRETE PAD
- VEGETATION
- HYDROMULCH (200.1.4) (INSERT PRODUCT NAME HERE)
- SURFACE ROUGHENING (200.2.5)
- SEDIMENT TRAP (200.2.2)
- STABILIZED GRAVEL ACCESS (200.3.1)
- CLEAN WASHED GRAVEL (200.1.6)
- CONCRETE WALKWAYS/ASPHALT PATHWAY
- PAVEMENT
- TURF SOD (200.1.2)
- STONE PAVERS
- PLANTER BEDS – RAISED OR WITH BORDER THAT WILL CONTAIN CONTENTS
- SILT FENCE (200.2.6)
- TREES – INDIVIDUAL
- TREES – OUTLINE
- RETAINING WALL
- STONE WALL
- CULVERT

CITY OF CALGARY OFFICE USE

MIN SIZE 5cm X 8cm

CITY FILE No.

No	YY–MM	ISSUE	BY	APP
A	17–01	PRELIMINARY APPROVAL	GG	PB

SEAL | SEAL

PERMIT TO PRACTICE

OWNER

CONSULTANT

PROJECT NAME

PROJECT NAME PHASE 1

DRAWING TITLE

POST STRIPPING AND GRADING AMENDMENT

SCALE 1:1000 (0 5 10 20 30m)

DESIGN	RC	DATE	2017–01–28		
DRAWN	GG	PROJECT NUMBER	CGY–00081296–00		
CHECKED	TA	DRAWING CODE	ESC3	ISS B	REV 1
APPROVED	PB				

14.0 RUSLE Calculations

Drawing Code: ESC1

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
											Site Erosion Potential	
Drainage Area Identifier	LS Identifier	LS Area Size (in Ha)	Slope and Slope Length (LS)	Description of Controls and Practices	R-Value	K-Value	LS-Value	C-Value(s)	P-Value(s)	A-Value Tonnes/ha*yr Must be at or below 2 tonnes/ha*yr	Soil Loss With Controls and Practices Tonnes/yr	Soil Loss Without Controls and Practices Tonnes/yr
Area #1	LS21	0.5	20% @ 50m	-Sediment pond -Mulch, tackifier, seed	320	0.048	5.17	0.01	0.1	0.079	0.04	39.7
A	LS1	0.83	5%@47.7m	Sod, grass	320	0.046	0.88	0.01	1	0.130	0.108	10.8
B	LS2	0.489	3.8%@60.0m	Sod, grass	320	0.046	0.74	0.01	1	0.109	0.053	5.3
C	LS3	1.547	30.5%@17.8	Sod, grass	320	0.046	3.7	0.01	1	0.545	0.843	84.3
					320					0.000	0	0
					320					0.000	0	0
					320					0.000	0	0
					320					0.000	0	0
					320					0.000	0	0
					320					0.000	0	0
					320					0.000	0	0
					320					0.000	0	0
					320					0.000	0	0
					320					0.000	0	0
					320					0.000	0	0
					320					0.000	0	0
					320					0.000	0	0
Overall Site Size		2.866								Total Soil Loss Estimates	1.00333875	100.3338752

Supplemental Information

14.0 RUSLE Calculations

Drawing Code: ESC2

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
											Site Erosion Potential	
Drainage Area Identifier	LS Identifier	LS Area Size (in Ha)	Slope and Slope Length (LS)	Description of Controls and Practices	R-Value	K-Value	LS-Value	C-Value(s)	P-Value(s)	A-Value Tonnes/ha*yr Must be at or below 2 tonnes/ha*yr	Soil Loss With Controls and Practices Tonnes/yr	Soil Loss Without Controls and Practices Tonnes/yr
Area #1	LS21	0.5	20% @ 50m	-Sediment pond -Mulch, tackifier, seed	320	0.048	5.17	0.01	0.1	0.079	0.04	39.7
A	LS1	0.31	1.6%@34.8m	Surface roughening, Pond 1	320	0.046	0.24	1	0.54	1.908	0.591	1.1
B	LS2&3	0.8	segmented	Surface roughening, Pond 2	320	0.046	0.51	1	0.09	0.676	0.541	6
C	LS4	0.3	0.8%@39.8m	Surface roughening, Pond 3	320	0.046	0.13	1	0.45	0.861	0.258	0.6
D	LS5	0.03	29.3%@3.2m	Hydromulch	320	0.046	0.99	0.01	1	0.146	0.004	0.4
E	LS 6&7	0.06	segmented	Hydromulch	320	0.046	0.51	0.01	1	0.075	0.005	0.5
F	LS 8&9	0.12	segmented	Hydromulch	320	0.046	1.89	0.01	1	0.278	0.033	3.3
G	LS10	0.96	30.3%@11m	Sod, grass	320	0.046	2.57	0.01	1	0.378	0.363	36.3
H	LS11	0.28	8.9%@14.5m	Sod, grass	320	0.046	0.75	0.01	1	0.110	0.031	3.1
					320					0.000	0	0
					320					0.000	0	0
					320					0.000	0	0
					320					0.000	0	0
					320					0.000	0	0
					320					0.000	0	0
					320					0.000	0	0
					320					0.000	0	0
Overall Site Size		2.866								Total Soil Loss Estimates	1.82659008	51.3

Supplemental Information

Area B segmented - LS2 3.7%@17.4m=0.38, LS3 7.0%@13.5=0.57
Area E segmented - LS6 3.7%@33.5m-0.53, LS7 7.15%@21m=0.77
Area F segmented - LS8 2.2%@10.6m=0.20, LS9 33.3%@10.0m=2.55

14.0 RUSLE Calculations

Drawing Code: ESC3 Iss A Rev 0

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
											Site Erosion Potential	
Drainage Area Identifier	LS Identifier	LS Area Size (in Ha)	Slope and Slope Length (LS)	Description of Controls and Practices	R-Value	K-Value	LS-Value	C-Value(s)	P-Value(s)	A-Value Tonnes/ha*yr Must be at or below 2 tonnes/ha*yr	Soil Loss With Controls and Practices Tonnes/yr	Soil Loss Without Controls and Practices Tonnes/yr
Area #1	LS21	0.5	20% @ 50m	-Sediment pond -Mulch, tackifier, seed	320	0.048	5.17	0.01	0.1	0.079	0.04	39.7
A	LS1	0.31	1.6% @ 27m	Surface roughening Pond 1	320	0.046	0.22	1	0.54	1.749	0.542	1
B	LS2&3	0.8	segmented	Surface roughening Pond 2	320	0.046	0.51	1	0.09	0.676	0.541	6
C	LS4	0.3	0.8% @ 39.8m	Surface roughening Pond 3	320	0.046	0.13	1	1	1.914	0.574	0.6
D	LS5	0.03	29.6% @ 3.2m	Hydromulch	320	0.046	0.99	0.01	1	0.146	0.004	0.4
E	LS6&7	0.12	segmented	Hydromulch Straw wattle	320	0.046	0.43	0.01	0.8	0.051	0.006	0.8
F	LS8&9	0.13	segmented	Hydromulch	320	0.046	1.89	0.01	1	0.278	0.036	3.6
G	LS17	0.03	50% @ 6.3m	Hydromulch	320	0.046	2.49	0.01	1	0.367	0.011	1.1
H	LS11	0.28	3.4% @ 42m	Sod, grass	320	0.046	0.55	0.01	1	0.081	0.023	2.3
I	LS12	0.406	30.3% @ 10m	Sod, grass	320	0.046	2.57	0.01	1	0.378	0.154	15.4
J	LS13	0.14	4.7% @ 28.9m	Hydromulch	320	0.046	0.62	0.01	1	0.091	0.013	1.3
K	LS14	0.3	16.6% @ 17.6m	Sod, grass	320	0.046	1.9	0.01	1	0.280	0.084	8.4
L	LS15	0.02	50% @ 5.1m	Hydromulch	320	0.046	2.09	0.01	1	0.308	0.006	0.6
					320					0.000	0	0
					320					0.000	0	0
					320					0.000	0	0
Add Row												
Overall Site Size		2.866								Total Soil Loss Estimates	1.99	41.5
Supplemental Information												

Area B segmented - LS2 3.7%@17.4m=0.38, LS3 7.0%@13.5m=0.57
Area E segmented - LS6 3.7%@33.5m=0.53, LS7 2.6%@38.2m=0.40
Area F segmented - LS8 2.2%@10.6m=0.20, LS9 33.3%@10.0m=2.55

14.0 RUSLE Calculations

Drawing Code: ESC3 Iss B Rev 1

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
											Site Erosion Potential	
Drainage Area Identifier	LS Identifier	LS Area Size (in Ha)	Slope and Slope Length (LS)	Description of Controls and Practices	R-Value	K-Value	LS-Value	C-Value(s)	P-Value(s)	A-Value Tonnes/ha*yr Must be at or below 2 tonnes/ha*yr	Soil Loss With Controls and Practices Tonnes/yr	Soil Loss Without Controls and Practices Tonnes/yr
Area #1	LS21	0.5	20% @ 50m	-Sediment pond -Mulch, tackifier, seed	320	0.048	5.17	0.01	0.1	0.079	0.04	39.7
A	LS1	0.25	1.9% @ 65.4 m	Surface Roughening Pond 1	320	0.046	0.36	1	0.36	1.908	0.477	1.3
B	LS4	0.96	24.2% @ 11.3 m	Hydromulch Pond 2	320	0.046	2.05	0.01	0.1	0.030	0.029	29
C	LS6	0.02	7.4% @ 22.6 m	Hydromulch	320	0.046	0.84	0.01	1	0.124	0.002	0.2
D	LS5	0.31	32.6% @ 4.5 m	Surface Roughening Pond 3	320	0.046	1.32	1	0.09	1.749	0.542	6
E	LS7	0.07	25.4% @ 13.9 m	Hydromulch	320	0.046	2.53	0.01	1	0.372	0.026	2.6
F	LS11	0.06	28.6% @ 6.5 m	Hydromulch	320	0.046	1.56	0.01	1	0.230	0.014	1.4
G	LS8	0.15	5.2% @ 30.8 m	Hydromulch	320	0.046	0.72	0.01	1	0.106	0.016	1.6
H	LS2	0.216	3.4% @ 42 m	Existing vegetation	320	0.046	0.55	0.01	1	0.081	0.017	1.7
I	LS10	0.48	31.2% @ 11.1	Existing vegetation	320	0.046	2.6	0.01	1	0.383	0.184	18.4
J	LS9	0.3	16.6% @ 17.5	Existing vegetation	320	0.046	1.9	0.01	1	0.280	0.084	8.4
					320					0.000	0	0
					320					0.000	0	0
					320					0.000	0	0
					320					0.000	0	0
					320					0.000	0	0
Add Row												
Overall Site Size		2.866								Total Soil Loss Estimates	1.39	70.6
Supplemental Information												

15.0 Sediment Containment Systems Data

A	B	C	D	E	F	G
Drawing	Location (referenced on Drawings)	Sediment containment System Identifier	Volume in Cubic Metres	Area Served in Hectares	Design Volume	P-Value
ESC2	A	Pond 1	75	0.31	241.93548387	0.6
ESC2	B	Pond 2	2,900	0.8	3,625	0.1
ESC2	C	Pond 3	100	0.3	333.33333333	0.5
ESC3	A	Pond 1	75	0.31	241.93548387	0.6
ESC3	B	Pond 2	2,900	0.8	3,625	0.1
ESC3	C	Pond 3	100	0.3	333.33333333	0.5

Calgary



LEGEND:

- CONSTRUCTION BOUNDARY
- EXISTING GROUND CONTOURS MINOR – 0.5m INTERVAL
- 1207.0 EXISTING GROUND CONTOURS MAJOR – 1.0m INTERVAL
- DRAINAGE DIVIDES
- A 0.00 DRAINAGE DIVIDE LABEL DRAINAGE DIVIDE AREA IN ha
- OVERLAND FLOW DIRECTION
- RUN–ON / RUN–OFF LOCATIONS
- STORM MAIN
- SOLID TOP MANHOLE
- GRATED TOP MANHOLE
- CATCH BASIN
- CONCRETE SWALE
- BUILDING (ROOF)
- CONCRETE PAD
- VEGETATION
- HYDROMULCH (200.1.4) (INSERT PRODUCT NAME HERE)
- SURFACE ROUGHENING (200.2.5)
- SEDIMENT TRAP (200.2.2)
- STABILIZED GRAVEL ACCESS (200.3.1)
- CLEAN WASHED GRAVEL (200.1.6)
- CONCRETE WALKWAYS/ASPHALT PATHWAY
- PAVEMENT
- TURF SOD (200.1.2)
- STONE PAVERS
- PLANTER BEDS – RAISED OR WITH BORDER THAT WILL CONTAIN CONTENTS
- SILT FENCE (200.2.6)
- TREES – INDIVIDUAL
- TREES – OUTLINE
- RETAINING WALL
- STONE WALL
- CULVERT

CITY OF CALGARY OFFICE USE

MIN SIZE 5cm X 8cm

CITY FILE No.

A	17–01	PRELIMINARY APPROVAL	GG	PB
No	YY–MM	ISSUE	BY	APP

SEAL | SEAL

PERMIT TO PRACTICE

OWNER

CONSULTANT

PROJECT NAME

PROJECT NAME
PHASE 1

DRAWING TITLE

BEFORE DEVELOPMENT

SCALE 1:1000 (0 5 10 20 30m)

DESIGN RC	DATE 2017–01–28		
DRAWN GG	PROJECT NUMBER CGY–00081296–00		
CHECKED TA	DRAWING CODE	ISS	REV
APPROVED PB	ESC5	A	0

Calgary



LEGEND:

- CONSTRUCTION BOUNDARY
- EXISTING GROUND CONTOURS MINOR – 0.5m INTERVAL
- 1207.0 EXISTING GROUND CONTOURS MAJOR – 1.0m INTERVAL
- DRAINAGE DIVIDES
- A 0.00 DRAINAGE DIVIDE LABEL DRAINAGE DIVIDE AREA IN ha
- OVERLAND FLOW DIRECTION
- RUN–ON / RUN–OFF LOCATIONS
- STORM MAIN
- SOLID TOP MANHOLE
- GRATED TOP MANHOLE
- CATCH BASIN
- CONCRETE SWALE
- BUILDING (ROOF)
- CONCRETE PAD
- VEGETATION
- HYDROMULCH (200.1.4) (INSERT PRODUCT NAME HERE)
- SURFACE ROUGHENING (200.2.5)
- SEDIMENT TRAP (200.2.2)
- STABILIZED GRAVEL ACCESS (200.3.1)
- CLEAN WASHED GRAVEL (200.1.6)
- CONCRETE WALKWAYS/ASPHALT PATHWAY
- PAVEMENT
- TURF SOD (200.1.2)
- STONE PAVERS
- PLANTER BEDS – RAISED OR WITH BORDER THAT WILL CONTAIN CONTENTS
- SILT FENCE (200.2.6)
- TREES – INDIVIDUAL
- TREES – OUTLINE
- RETAINING WALL
- STONE WALL
- CULVERT

CITY OF CALGARY OFFICE USE

MIN SIZE 5cm X 8cm

CITY FILE No. DA2017–1234

No	YY–MM	ISSUE	BY	APP
A	17–01	PRELIMINARY APPROVAL	GG	PB

SEAL

SEAL

PERMIT TO PRACTICE

OWNER

CONSULTANT

PROJECT NAME

PROJECT NAME
PHASE 1

DRAWING TITLE

POST UNDERGROUND

SCALE 1:1000 (0 5 10 20 30m)

DESIGN	DATE		
RC	2017–01–28		
DRAWN GG	PROJECT NUMBER CGY–00081296–00		
CHECKED TA	DRAWING CODE	ISS	REV
APPROVED PB	ESC6	A	0

Calgary



LEGEND:

- CONSTRUCTION BOUNDARY
- EXISTING GROUND CONTOURS MINOR – 0.5m INTERVAL
- 1207.0 EXISTING GROUND CONTOURS MAJOR – 1.0m INTERVAL
- DRAINAGE DIVIDES
- A / 0.00 DRAINAGE DIVIDE LABEL / DRAINAGE DIVIDE AREA IN ha
- OVERLAND FLOW DIRECTION
- RUN–ON / RUN–OFF LOCATIONS
- STORM MAIN
- SOLID TOP MANHOLE
- GRATED TOP MANHOLE
- CATCH BASIN
- CONCRETE SWALE
- BUILDING (ROOF)
- CONCRETE PAD
- VEGETATION
- HYDROMULCH (200.1.4) (INSERT PRODUCT NAME HERE)
- SURFACE ROUGHENING (200.2.5)
- SEDIMENT TRAP (200.2.2)
- STABILIZED GRAVEL ACCESS (200.3.1)
- CLEAN WASHED GRAVEL (200.1.6)
- CONCRETE WALKWAYS/ASPHALT PATHWAY
- PAVEMENT
- TURF SOD (200.1.2)
- STONE PAVERS
- PLANTER BEDS – RAISED OR WITH BORDER THAT WILL CONTAIN CONTENTS
- SILT FENCE (200.2.6)
- TREES – INDIVIDUAL
- TREES – OUTLINE
- RETAINING WALL
- STONE WALL
- CULVERT

CITY OF CALGARY OFFICE USE

MIN SIZE 5cm X 8cm

CITY FILE No. DA2017–1234

No	YY–MM	ISSUE	BY	APP
A	17–01	PRELIMINARY APPROVAL	GG	PB

SEAL

SEAL

PERMIT TO PRACTICE

OWNER

CONSULTANT

PROJECT NAME

PROJECT NAME
PHASE 1

DRAWING TITLE

ABOVE GROUND WORK

SCALE 1:1000 (0 5 10 20 30m)

DESIGN	DATE		
RC	2017–01–28		
DRAWN	PROJECT NUMBER		
GG	CGY–00081296–00		
CHECKED TA / APPROVED PB	DRAWING CODE: ESC7	ISS: A	REV: 0

Calgary



LEGEND:

- CONSTRUCTION BOUNDARY
- EXISTING GROUND CONTOURS MINOR – 0.5m INTERVAL
- 1207.0 EXISTING GROUND CONTOURS MAJOR – 1.0m INTERVAL
- DRAINAGE DIVIDES
- A 0.00 DRAINAGE DIVIDE LABEL DRAINAGE DIVIDE AREA IN ha
- OVERLAND FLOW DIRECTION
- RUN–ON / RUN–OFF LOCATIONS
- STORM MAIN
- SOLID TOP MANHOLE
- GRATED TOP MANHOLE
- CATCH BASIN
- CONCRETE SWALE
- BUILDING (ROOF)
- CONCRETE PAD
- VEGETATION
- HYDROMULCH (200.1.4) (INSERT PRODUCT NAME HERE)
- SURFACE ROUGHENING (200.2.5)
- SEDIMENT TRAP (200.2.2)
- STABILIZED GRAVEL ACCESS (200.3.1)
- CLEAN WASHED GRAVEL (200.1.6)
- CONCRETE WALKWAYS/ASPHALT PATHWAY
- PAVEMENT
- TURF SOD (200.1.2)
- STONE PAVERS
- PLANTER BEDS – RAISED OR WITH BORDER THAT WILL CONTAIN CONTENTS
- SILT FENCE (200.2.6)
- TREES – INDIVIDUAL
- TREES – OUTLINE
- RETAINING WALL
- STONE WALL
- CULVERT

CITY OF CALGARY OFFICE USE

MIN SIZE 5cm X 8cm

CITY FILE No. DA2017–1234

No	YY–MM	ISSUE	BY	APP
A	17–01	PRELIMINARY APPROVAL	GG	PB

SEAL | SEAL

PERMIT TO PRACTICE

OWNER

CONSULTANT

PROJECT NAME
PROJECT NAME PHASE 1

DRAWING TITLE
DEVELOPMENT COMPLETION

SCALE 1:1000 (0 5 10 20 30m)

DESIGN RC	DATE 2017–01–28		
DRAWN GG	PROJECT NUMBER CGY–00081296–00		
CHECKED TA	DRAWING CODE ESC8	ISS A	REV 0
APPROVED PB			

14.0 RUSLE Calculations

Drawing Code: ESC5

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
											Site Erosion Potential	
Drainage Area Identifier	LS Identifier	LS Area Size (in Ha)	Slope and Slope Length (LS)	Description of Controls and Practices	R-Value	K-Value	LS-Value	C-Value(s)	P-Value(s)	A-Value Tonnes/ha*yr Must be at or below 2 tonnes/ha*yr	Soil Loss With Controls and Practices Tonnes/yr	Soil Loss Without Controls and Practices Tonnes/yr
Area #1	LS21	0.5	20% @ 50m	-Sediment pond -Mulch, tackifier, seed	320	0.048	5.17	0.01	0.1	0.079	0.04	39.7
A	LS1	0.25	1.9% @ 65.4 m	-Surface Roughening -Pond 1	320	0.046	0.36	1	0.36	1.908	0.477	1.3
B	LS4	0.96	24.2% @ 11.3 m	-Hydromulch -Pond 2	320	0.046	2.05	0.01	0.1	0.030	0.029	29
C	LS6	0.02	7.4% @ 22.6 m	-Hydromulch	320	0.046	0.84	0.01	1	0.124	0.002	0.2
D	LS5	0.31	32.6% @ 4.5 m	-Surface Roughening -Pond 3	320	0.046	1.32	1	0.09	1.749	0.542	6
E	LS7	0.07	25.4% @ 2.53 m	-Hydromulch	320	0.046	2.53	0.01	1	0.372	0.026	2.6
F	LS11	0.06	28.6% @ 1.56	-Hydromulch	320	0.046	1.56	0.01	1	0.230	0.014	1.4
G	LS8	0.15	5.2% @ 30.8 m	-Hydromulch	320	0.046	0.72	0.01	1	0.106	0.016	1.6
H	LS2	0.216	3.4% @ 42 m	-Existing vegetation	320	0.046	0.55	0.01	1	0.081	0.017	1.7
I	LS10	0.48	31.2% @ 11.1	- Existing vegetation	320	0.046	2.60	0.01	1	0.372	0.179	17.9
J	LS9	0.3	16.6% @ 17.5 m	-Existing vegetation	320	0.046	1.9	0.01	1	0.280	0.084	8.4
					320					0.000	0	0
					320					0.000	0	0
					320					0.000	0	0
					320					0.000	0	0
					320					0.000	0	0
					320					0.000	0	0
Overall Site Size		2.816								Total Soil Loss Estimates	1.38637376	70.154048

Supplemental Information

14.0 RUSLE Calculations

Drawing Code: ESC6

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
											Site Erosion Potential	
Drainage Area Identifier	LS Identifier	LS Area Size (in Ha)	Slope and Slope Length (LS)	Description of Controls and Practices	R-Value	K-Value	LS-Value	C-Value(s)	P-Value(s)	A-Value Tonnes/ha*yr Must be at or below 2 tonnes/ha*yr	Soil Loss With Controls and Practices Tonnes/yr	Soil Loss Without Controls and Practices Tonnes/yr
Area #1	LS21	0.5	20% @ 50m	-Sediment pond -Mulch, tackifier, seed	320	0.048	5.17	0.01	0.1	0.079	0.04	39.7
A	LS1	0.31	1.8% @ 31 m	-Clean washed gravel	320	0.046	0.22	0.05	1	0.162	0.05	1
B	LS18	0.8	23.3% @ 8.8 m	- Hydromulch -Pond 1	320	0.046	2.12	0.01	0.1	0.031	0.025	25
C	LS5	0.3	0.8% @ 39.8 m	-Clean washed gravel	320	0.046	0.13	0.05	1	0.096	0.029	0.6
D	LS6	0.03	29.5% @ 3.2 m	-Hydromulch	320	0.046	0.99	0.01	1	0.146	0.004	0.4
E	LS7	0.12	3.7 % @ 33.5 m	-Clean washed gravel	320	0.046	0.53	0.05	1	0.390	0.047	0.9
F	LS8 & 9	0.14	segmented	-Hydromulch	320	0.046	1.89	0.01	1	0.278	0.039	3.9
G	LS13	0.02	50% @ 5.1 m	-Hydromulch	320	0.046	2.09	0.01	1	0.308	0.006	0.6
H	LS16	0.03	50% @ 6.3 m	-Hydromulch	320	0.046	2.49	0.01	1	0.367	0.011	1.1
I	LS10	0.216	2.2% @ 42 m	-Existing vegetation	320	0.046	0.55	0.01	1	0.081	0.017	1.7
J	LS11	0.14	5.2% @ 28.9 m	-Hydromulch	320	0.046	0.62	0.01	1	0.091	0.013	1.3
K	LS12	0.3	16.1% @ 17.6 m	-Existing vegetation	320	0.046	1.9	0.01	1	0.280	0.084	8.4
L	LS17	0.48	30.5% @ 11 m	-Existing vegetation	320	0.046	2.57	0.01	1	0.378	0.182	18.2
					320					0.000	0	0
					320					0.000	0	0
					320					0.000	0	0
					320					0.000	0	0
Overall Site Size		2.886								Total Soil Loss Estimates	0.50689792	63.101696

Supplemental Information

Area F segmented - LS8 2.2% @ 10.6 m = 0.20, LS9 33.3% @ 10 m = 2.55

14.0 RUSLE Calculations

Drawing Code: ESC7

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
											Site Erosion Potential	
Drainage Area Identifier	LS Identifier	LS Area Size (in Ha)	Slope and Slope Length (LS)	Description of Controls and Practices	R-Value	K-Value	LS-Value	C-Value(s)	P-Value(s)	A-Value Tonnes/ha*yr Must be at or below 2 tonnes/ha*yr	Soil Loss With Controls and Practices Tonnes/yr	Soil Loss Without Controls and Practices Tonnes/yr
Area #1	LS21	0.5	20% @ 50m	-Sediment pond -Mulch, tackifier, seed	320	0.048	5.17	0.01	0.1	0.079	0.04	39.7
A	LS1	0.41	2% @ 26.9 m	-Clean washed gravel	320	0.046	0.27	0.05	1	0.199	0.081	1.6
B1	LS4	0.06	1.6% @ 58 m	-Clean washed gravel	320	0.046	0.28	0.05	1	0.206	0.012	0.2
B2	LS5	0.3	0.7% @ 21.2 m	-Clean washed gravel	320	0.046	0.1	0.05	1	0.074	0.022	0.4
C1	LS3	0.386	2.2% @ 43 m	-Sod	320	0.046	0.35	0.01	1	0.052	0.02	2
C2	LS15	0.03	40.1% @ 6.8 m	-Hydromulch	320	0.046	2.21	0.01	1	0.325	0.01	1
D	LS14	0.04	39.9% @ 9 m	-Hydromulch	320	0.046	2.76	0.01	1	0.406	0.016	1.6
E	LS9	0.119	2.4% @50 m	-Clean washed gravel	320	0.046	0.41	0.05	1	0.302	0.036	0.7
F	LS12	0.19	11% @ 11.2 m	-Hydromulch	320	0.046	0.83	0.01	1	0.122	0.023	2.3
G	LS13	0.54	6.1% @ 13.9 m	-Clean washed gravel	320	0.046	0.52	0.05	1	0.383	0.207	4.1
H	LS2	0.05	1% @ 17.9 m	- Clean washed gravel	320	0.046	0.13	0.05	1	0.096	0.005	0.1
I	LS11	0.48	30.8% @ 12.1 m	-Sod	320	0.046	2.75	0.01	1	0.405	0.194	19.4
J	LS8	0.3	16% @ 14.1 m	- Sod	320	0.046	1.54	0.01	1	0.227	0.068	6.8
					320					0.000	0	0
					320					0.000	0	0
					320					0.000	0	0
					320					0.000	0	0
Overall Site Size		2.905								Total Soil Loss Estimates	0.69470304	40.4

Supplemental Information

14.0 RUSLE Calculations

Drawing Code: ESC8

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
											Site Erosion Potential	
Drainage Area Identifier	LS Identifier	LS Area Size (in Ha)	Slope and Slope Length (LS)	Description of Controls and Practices	R-Value	K-Value	LS-Value	C-Value(s)	P-Value(s)	A-Value Tonnes/ha*yr Must be at or below 2 tonnes/ha*yr	Soil Loss With Controls and Practices Tonnes/yr	Soil Loss Without Controls and Practices Tonnes/yr
Area #1	LS21	0.5	20% @ 50m	-Sediment pond -Mulch, tackifier, seed	320	0.048	5.17	0.01	0.1	0.079	0.04	39.7
A	LS11	0.23	1.9% @ 26 m	-Pavement	320	0.046	0.25	0.001	1	0.004	0.001	0.8
B	LS13	0.35	2.2% @ 16 m	-Pavement	320	0.046	0.23	0.001	1	0.003	0.001	1.2
C	LS5	0.33	40% @7.4 m	-Existing vegetation	320	0.046	2.36	0.01	1	0.347	0.115	11.5
D	LS6	0.09	40% @ 9.8 m	-Existing vegetation	320	0.046	2.96	0.01	1	0.436	0.039	3.9
E	LS14	0.07	2.5% @ 14 m	-Pavement	320	0.046	0.25	0.001	1	0.004	0	0.3
F	LS9	0.18	11.1% @ 11.7	-Sod	320	0.046	0.87	0.01	1	0.128	0.023	2.3
G	LS8	0.39	25.2% @ 19.2	-Existing vegetation	320	0.046	3.23	0.01	1	0.475	0.185	18.5
H	LS1	0.386	2.6% @ 62.2 m	-Existing vegetation	320	0.046	0.49	0.01	1	0.072	0.028	2.8
I	LS12	0.06	1.9% @ 13 m	-Pavement	320	0.046	0.19	0.001	1	0.003	0	0.2
J	LS10	0.72	0.6% @ 69.5 m	-Sod	320	0.046	0.12	0.01	1	0.018	0.013	1.3
					320					0.000	0	0
					320					0.000	0	0
					320					0.000	0	0
					320					0.000	0	0
					320					0.000	0	0
					320					0.000	0	0
Overall Site Size		2.806								Total Soil Loss Estimates	0.40534906	42.7459968

Supplemental Information

15.0 Sediment Containment Systems Data

A	B	C	D	E	F	G
Drawing	Location (referenced on Drawings)	Sediment containment System Identifier	Volume in Cubic Metres	Area Served in Hectares	Design Volume	P-Value
ESC5	A	Pond 1	145	0.25	580	0.4
ESC5	B	Pond 2	2,900	0.96	3,020	0.1
ESC5	D	Pond 3	595	0.31	1,919	0.1
ESC6	B	Pond 1	2,900	0.8	3,625	0.1

Calgary



LEGEND:

- CONSTRUCTION BOUNDARY
- EXISTING GROUND CONTOURS MINOR – 0.5m INTERVAL
- EXISTING GROUND CONTOURS MAJOR – 1.0m INTERVAL (1207.0)
- DRAINAGE DIVIDES
- DRAINAGE DIVIDE LABEL / DRAINAGE DIVIDE AREA IN ha (A / 0.00)
- OVERLAND FLOW DIRECTION
- RUN–ON / RUN–OFF LOCATIONS
- STORM MAIN
- SOLID TOP MANHOLE
- GRATED TOP MANHOLE
- CATCH BASIN
- CONCRETE SWALE
- HYDROMULCH (200.1.4) (INSERT PRODUCT NAME HERE)
- SURFACE ROUGHENING (200.2.5)
- SEDIMENT TRAP (200.2.2)
- STABILIZED GRAVEL ACCESS (200.3.1)
- EXISTING VEGETATION
- CONCRETE WALKWAYS/ASPHALT PATHWAY
- PAVEMENT
- CLEAN WASHED GRAVEL (200.1.6)
- PIT RUN GRAVEL (200.1.6) STONE >2mm b/w 25% & 50%
- PHASE 1
- PHASE 2
- SILT FENCE (200.2.6)
- TREES – INDIVIDUAL
- TREES – OUTLINE

CITY OF CALGARY OFFICE USE

MIN SIZE 5cm X 8cm

CITY FILE No. DA2017–1234

No	YY–MM	ISSUE	BY	APP
A	17–01	PRELIMINARY APPROVAL	GG	PB

SEAL

SEAL

PERMIT TO PRACTICE

OWNER

CONSULTANT

PROJECT NAME

CALGARY ESTATES PHASE 1

DRAWING TITLE

PRESENT CONDITIONS

SCALE 1:1000 (0 5 10 20 30m)

DESIGN	DATE		
RC	2017–01–28		
DRAWN	PROJECT NUMBER		
GG	CGY–00081296–00		
CHECKED	DRAWING CODE	ISS	REV
TA	ESC05	A	0
APPROVED			
PB			



LEGEND:

- CONSTRUCTION BOUNDARY
- EXISTING GROUND CONTOURS MINOR – 0.5m INTERVAL
- 1207.0 EXISTING GROUND CONTOURS MAJOR – 1.0m INTERVAL
- DRAINAGE DIVIDES
- A 0.00 DRAINAGE DIVIDE LABEL DRAINAGE DIVIDE AREA IN ha
- OVERLAND FLOW DIRECTION
- RUN–ON / RUN–OFF LOCATIONS
- STORM MAIN
- SOLID TOP MANHOLE
- GRATED TOP MANHOLE
- CATCH BASIN
- CONCRETE SWALE
- HYDROMULCH (200.1.4) (INSERT PRODUCT NAME HERE)
- SURFACE ROUGHENING (200.2.5)
- SEDIMENT TRAP (200.2.2)
- STABILIZED GRAVEL ACCESS (200.3.1)
- EXISTING VEGETATION
- CONCRETE WALKWAYS/ASPHALT PATHWAY
- PAVEMENT
- CLEAN WASHED GRAVEL (200.1.6)
- PIT RUN GRAVEL (200.1.6) STONE >2mm b/w 25% & 50%
- PHASE 1
- PHASE 2
- SILT FENCE (200.2.6)
- TREES – INDIVIDUAL
- TREES – OUTLINE

INSTALL HYDROMULCH IN AREAS A,D,E+G IF THE PERIOD OF INACTIVITY ON THESE LOCATIONS REACHES 30 DAYS

CITY OF CALGARY OFFICE USE

MIN SIZE 5cm X 8cm

CITY FILE No. DA2017–1234

No	YY–MM	ISSUE	BY	APP
A	17–01	PRELIMINARY APPROVAL	GG	PB

SEAL | SEAL

PERMIT TO PRACTICE

OWNER

CONSULTANT

PROJECT NAME: CALGARY ESTATES PHASE 1

DRAWING TITLE: POST UNDERGROUND

SCALE: 1:1000 (0 5 10 20 30m)

DESIGN: RC	DATE: 2017–01–28
DRAWN: GG	PROJECT NUMBER: CGY–00081296–00
CHECKED: TA	DRAWING CODE: ESC6 / ISS: A / REV: 0
APPROVED: PB	



LEGEND:

- CONSTRUCTION BOUNDARY
- EXISTING GROUND CONTOURS MINOR – 0.5m INTERVAL
- 1207.0 EXISTING GROUND CONTOURS MAJOR – 1.0m INTERVAL
- DRAINAGE DIVIDES
- A 0.00 DRAINAGE DIVIDE LABEL DRAINAGE DIVIDE AREA IN ha
- OVERLAND FLOW DIRECTION
- RUN–ON / RUN–OFF LOCATIONS
- STORM MAIN
- SOLID TOP MANHOLE
- GRATED TOP MANHOLE
- CATCH BASIN
- CONCRETE SWALE
- HYDROMULCH (200.1.4) (INSERT PRODUCT NAME HERE)
- SURFACE ROUGHENING (200.2.5)
- SEDIMENT TRAP (200.2.2)
- STABILIZED GRAVEL ACCESS (200.3.1)
- EXISTING VEGETATION
- CONCRETE WALKWAYS/ASPHALT PATHWAY
- PAVEMENT
- CLEAN WASHED GRAVEL (200.1.6)
- PIT RUN GRAVEL (200.1.6) STONE >2mm b/w 25% & 50%
- PHASE 1
- PHASE 2
- SILT FENCE (200.2.6)
- TREES – INDIVIDUAL
- TREES – OUTLINE

CITY OF CALGARY OFFICE USE

MIN SIZE 5cm X 8cm

CITY FILE No. DA2017–1234

No	YY–MM	ISSUE	BY	APP
A	17–01	PRELIMINARY APPROVAL	GG	PB

SEAL

SEAL

PERMIT TO PRACTICE

OWNER

CONSULTANT

PROJECT NAME

CALGARY ESTATES PHASE 1

DRAWING TITLE

ABOVE GROUND CONSTRUCTION

SCALE 1:1000

0 5 10 20 30m

DESIGN RC	DATE 2017–01–28
DRAWN GG	PROJECT NUMBER CGY–00081296–00
CHECKED TA	DRAWING CODE ESC7 / ISS A / REV 0
APPROVED PB	



LEGEND:

- CONSTRUCTION BOUNDARY
- EXISTING GROUND CONTOURS MINOR – 0.5m INTERVAL
- 1207.0 EXISTING GROUND CONTOURS MAJOR – 1.0m INTERVAL
- DRAINAGE DIVIDES
- A 0.00 DRAINAGE DIVIDE LABEL DRAINAGE DIVIDE AREA IN ha
- OVERLAND FLOW DIRECTION
- RUN–ON / RUN–OFF LOCATIONS
- STORM MAIN
- SOLID TOP MANHOLE
- GRATED TOP MANHOLE
- CATCH BASIN
- CONCRETE SWALE
- HYDROMULCH (200.1.4) (INSERT PRODUCT NAME HERE)
- SURFACE ROUGHENING (200.2.5)
- SEDIMENT TRAP (200.2.2)
- STABILIZED GRAVEL ACCESS (200.3.1)
- EXISTING VEGETATION
- CONCRETE WALKWAYS/ASPHALT PATHWAY
- PAVEMENT
- CLEAN WASHED GRAVEL (200.1.6)
- PIT RUN GRAVEL (200.1.6) STONE >2mm b/w 25% & 50%
- PHASE 1
- PHASE 2
- SILT FENCE (200.2.6)
- TREES – INDIVIDUAL
- TREES – OUTLINE

CITY OF CALGARY OFFICE USE

MIN SIZE 5cm X 8cm

CITY FILE No. DA2017–1234

No	YY–MM	ISSUE	BY	APP
A	17–01	PRELIMINARY APPROVAL	GG	PB

SEAL

SEAL

PERMIT TO PRACTICE

OWNER

CONSULTANT

PROJECT NAME

CALGARY ESTATES
PHASE 1

DRAWING TITLE

PHASING PLAN

SCALE 1:1000
0 5 10 20 30m

DESIGN RC	DATE 2017–01–28		
DRAWN GG	PROJECT NUMBER CGY–00081296–00		
CHECKED TA	DRAWING CODE ESC10	ISS A	REV 0
APPROVED PB			

14.0 RUSLE Calculations

Drawing Code: ESC5 (Subdivision)

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
											Site Erosion Potential	
Drainage Area Identifier	LS Identifier	LS Area Size (in Ha)	Slope and Slope Length (LS)	Description of Controls and Practices	R-Value	K-Value	LS-Value	C-Value(s)	P-Value(s)	A-Value Tonnes/ha*yr Must be at or below 2 tonnes/ha*yr	Soil Loss With Controls and Practices Tonnes/yr	Soil Loss Without Controls and Practices Tonnes/yr
Area #1	LS21	0.5	20% @ 50m	-Sediment pond -Mulch, tackifier, seed	320	0.048	5.17	0.01	0.1	0.079	0.04	39.7
A	LS 1&2	1.41	segmented	Surface roughening, Pond 1	320	0.046	0.37	1	0.36	1.961	2.765	7.7
B	LS6	1.4	0.5%@96m	Surface roughening	320	0.046	0.11	1	0.9	1.457	2.04	2.3
B	LS7	0.19	17.2%@6m	Hydromulch, Pond 2	320	0.046	0.89	0.01	0.5	0.066	0.012	2.5
C	LS9	0.22	9.5%@12m	Hydromulch, Pond 4	320	0.046	0.72	0.01	0.6	0.064	0.014	2.3
D	LS10	0.12	3%@24m	Surface roughening, Pond 3	320	0.046	0.37	1	0.27	1.471	0.176	0.7
					320					0.000	0	0
					320					0.000	0	0
					320					0.000	0	0
					320					0.000	0	0
					320					0.000	0	0
					320					0.000	0	0
					320					0.000	0	0
					320					0.000	0	0
					320					0.000	0	0
					320					0.000	0	0
					320					0.000	0	0
Overall Site Size		3.34								Total Soil Loss Estimates	5.00768365	15.420672

Supplemental Information

Area A segmented - LS1 18.3%@7m=1.06, LS2 0.8%@38m=0.13

14.0 RUSLE Calculations

Drawing Code: ESC6 (Subdivision)

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
											Site Erosion Potential	
Drainage Area Identifier	LS Identifier	LS Area Size (in Ha)	Slope and Slope Length (LS)	Description of Controls and Practices	R-Value	K-Value	LS-Value	C-Value(s)	P-Value(s)	A-Value Tonnes/ha*yr Must be at or below 2 tonnes/ha*yr	Soil Loss With Controls and Practices Tonnes/yr	Soil Loss Without Controls and Practices Tonnes/yr
Area #1	LS21	0.5	20% @ 50m	-Sediment pond -Mulch, tackifier, seed	320	0.048	5.17	0.01	0.1	0.079	0.04	39.7
A	LS1	0.65	18.3% @ 7 m	Hydromulch	320	0.046	1.06	0.1	1	1.560	1.014	10.1
B	LS5	0.34	1% @ 89 m	Pit run gravel	320	0.003	0.2	1	1	0.192	0.065	0.1
C	LS9	0.22	9.5% @ 12 m	Hydromulch Pond 5	320	0.046	0.72	0.01	0.6	0.064	0.014	2.3
D	LS10	0.12	3.0% @ 24 m	Surface Roughening Pond 4	320	0.046	0.37	1	0.27	1.471	0.176	0.7
E	LS6	0.76	0.5% @ 96 m	Surface Roughening	320	0.046	0.11	1	0.9	1.457	1.108	1.2
F	LS7	0.61	17.2% @ 6 m	Hydromulch	320	0.046	0.89	0.01	1	0.131	0.08	8
G	LS5	0.3	2.2% @ 50 m	Surface Roughening Pond 1	320	0.046	0.37	1	0.27	1.471	0.441	1.6
H	LS11	0.33	1.5% @ 47 m	Pit run gravel	320	0.003	0.25	1	1	0.240	0.079	0.1
					320					0.000	0	0
					320					0.000	0	0
					320					0.000	0	0
					320					0.000	0	0
					320					0.000	0	0
					320					0.000	0	0
					320					0.000	0	0
Add Row												
Overall Site Size		3.33								Total Soil Loss Estimates	2.98	24.1
Supplemental Information												

14.0 RUSLE Calculations

Drawing Code:	ESC7 (Subdivision)											
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
											Site Erosion Potential	
Drainage Area Identifier	LS Identifier	LS Area Size (in Ha)	Slope and Slope Length (LS)	Description of Controls and Practices	R-Value	K-Value	LS-Value	C-Value(s)	P-Value(s)	A-Value Tonnes/ha*yr Must be at or below 2 tonnes/ha*yr	Soil Loss With Controls and Practices Tonnes/yr	Soil Loss Without Controls and Practices Tonnes/yr
Area #1	LS21	0.5	20% @ 50m	-Sediment pond -Mulch, tackifier, seed	320	0.048	5.17	0.01	0.1	0.079	0.04	39.7
A	LS 1&2	0.65	segmented	Hydromulch	320	0.046	0.37	0.01	1	0.054	0.035	3.5
B	LS7	0.34	1.0%@89m	Pavement	320	0.046	0.2	0.001	1	0.003	0.001	1
C	LS9	0.22	9.5%@12m	Hydromulch	320	0.046	0.72	0.01	1	0.106	0.023	2.3
D	LS10	0.12	0.37%@24m	Hydromulch	320	0.046	0.37	0.01	1	0.054	0.007	0.7
E	LS6	0.76	0.5%@96m	Hydromulch	320	0.046	0.11	0.01	1	0.016	0.012	1.2
F	LS 7&17	0.61	segmented	Hydromulch	320	0.046	0.4	0.01	1	0.059	0.036	3.6
G	LS16	0.3	2.2%@50m	Hydromulch	320	0.046	0.37	0.01	1	0.054	0.016	1.6
H	LS11	0.33	1.5%@47m	Pavement	320	0.046	0.25	0.001	1	0.004	0.001	1.2
					320					0.000	0	0
					320					0.000	0	0
					320					0.000	0	0
					320					0.000	0	0
					320					0.000	0	0
					320					0.000	0	0
					320					0.000	0	0
					320					0.000	0	0
Overall Site Size		3.33								Total Soil Loss Estimates	0.13203104	15.196928

Supplemental Information

Area A segmented - LS1 18.3%@7m=1.06, LS2 0.8%@38m=0.37
Area F segmented - LS7 17.2%@6m=0.89, LS17 1.66%@30m=0.23

15.0 Sediment Containment Systems Data

A	B	C	D	E	F	G
Drawing	Location (referenced on Drawings)	Sediment containment System Identifier	Volume in Cubic Metres	Area Served in Hectares	Design Volume	P-Value
ESC5	A	Pond 1	800	1.41	567.37588652	0.4
ESC5	B	Pond 2	480	1.59	301.88679245	0.5
ESC5	C	Pond 4	50	0.22	227.27272727	0.6
ESC5	D	Pond 3	112	0.12	933.33333333	0.3
ESC6	A	Pond 2	357	0.65	549.23076923	0.4
ESC6	C	Pond 5	50	0.22	227.27272727	0.6
ESC6	D	Pond 4	112	0.12	933.33333333	0.3
ESC6	F	Pond 3	202	0.1	2,020	0.1
ESC6	G	Pond 1	232	0.3	773.33333333	0.3

Appendix C: Glossary

The following words and terms are used in this document when discussing erosion and sediment control (ESC) and stormwater management. Some definitions are adapted from *Erosion & Sediment Control on Construction Sites* (Spring, 2002). Some definitions were also adapted from the *Erosion & Sediment Control Participant's Handbook* (Malaspina University College, 2005).

Abrasion	Erosion caused by particles carried by wind or water.
Accretion	The outward growth of a bank or shoreline caused by sedimentation.
Base Flow	Stream flow during dry periods, predominantly due to groundwater recharge.
Berm	A structure (generally compacted earthen material) built to contain or divert runoff or, in the case of a compost berm, to detain and filter runoff through stabilized organic material.
Best Management Practice	Control or practice implemented to protect water quality and reduce the potential for pollution associated with stormwater runoff. Often abbreviated as *BMP*.
Capacity	The effective carrying ability of a drainage structure (cubic metres per second).
Channel Erosion	Erosion of the bed or banks of a defined channel.
Check Dams	Small dams constructed in channels subject to periodic runoff, with the purpose of reducing water velocity, channel gradient, and erosion.
Clay	Inorganic particles 0.0002 to 0.004 millimetres (mm) in diameter.
Cohesion	The ability of individual soil particles to stick together.
Conveyance	Any natural or constructed channel or pipe in which concentrated water flows.
Culvert	A closed conduit that allows water to pass under a road.
Deleterious	Deleterious substances, as defined in the *Fisheries Act*, are substances (or water containing a substance) that degrade or alter water quality so that it is, or is likely to be, rendered dangerous to fish, fish habitat, or the use of fish by humans. Water that is treated, processed, or changed from a natural state and introduced into fish habitat could also harm fish, fish habitat, or consumers of fish.
Deposition	The settling of material due to gravity.
Detachment	The breaking of bonds holding a material together (i.e., by raindrop impact).

Detention	The temporary detention of stormwater for later release. This practice is often used in sedimentation traps and basins to promote the settling of sediment.
Discharge	A volume of water flowing out of a drainage structure or facility (measured in cubic metres per second or United States [U.S.] gallons per minute). May also refer to a discharge of water from an excavation as a result of dewatering.
Disturbed Areas	Areas that have been purposefully cleared, grubbed, excavated, or graded. Ground surface that has been disrupted by construction activities, including construction access and roads, and staging and storage sites, producing significant areas of exposed soil and stockpiles.
Ditch	A small, artificial channel, usually unlined.
Diversion	The interception and conveyance of runoff into an unnatural channel (usually to protect a disturbed area).
Drainage Area	A defined area of the land surface that runoff flows off of to a given location.
Due Diligence	The legal expectation or requirement that individuals and companies will maintain a reasonable standard of care to protect worker safety and the environment.
Entrainment	The picking up of soil particles after they are detached by erosive agents.
Erosion	The physical removal or detachment of soil particles, followed by the entrainment and transport of the particles to another location.
Erosion Control	The stabilization of soils using controls and practices, such as vegetation cover, mulches, protective blankets, wattles, fascines, or engineered materials.
Fascine	A long bundle of live, woody material bound together and used for biotechnical stabilization of river banks and slopes.
Grade	The slope of a roadway, channel, slope, or natural ground.
Grading	Earth-disturbing activities, including excavation, cutting, filling, stockpiling, or any combination thereof.
Groundwater	Subsurface water within a zone of saturated material (aquifer).
Grubbing	Removing stumps, roots, or brush.
Gully Erosion	Results when numerous rills join to cut deeper, wider channels. In turn, gullying dramatically concentrates runoff and erosion rates..
Hydromulching	Application of water-based slurry containing mulch (and tackifier) to the soil.
Hydroseeding	Similar to hydromulching, but with the addition of seed, fertilizer, and other specialized soil amendments.

Impoundment	A natural or constructed containment for surface water.
Infiltration	The movement of water through the soil surface into the ground.
Inlet	The entrance into a ditch, culvert, storm drain, or other water conveyance.
Lining	Protective covering installed over a channel substrate or, in the case of a pond, to prevent the infiltration of water.
Loading	Usually refers to the total contribution of sediment and other pollutants into stormwater and receiving waters from all sources.
Mulch	A natural or artificial layer of plant residue or other material that covers the land, preventing surface crusting, reducing erosion caused by wind and raindrop impact, and, in many cases, aiding in establishing vegetation by preserving moisture and reducing temperature fluctuations.
Non-point Source	
Pollution	Diffuse sources of contaminants (i.e., streets and driveways in a residential subdivision). These sources can add to a cumulative problem with serious health or environmental consequences.
Permanent Cover	
Permeability	The capacity for transmitting water through a material or into the soil.
Permit	An authorization, licence, or a similar control document issued by The City of Calgary (The City) or another regulatory body to conform to the requirements of an environmental regulation or bylaw. Permits are usually issued based on the review of a written application and other information, and have conditions that must be adhered to.
Piping	Seepage or subsurface flow often causing removal of soil, eroding larger and larger pathways or “pipes.”
Precipitation	The falling to ground of atmospheric moisture as rain, snow, or hail, measured in depth or intensity.
Qualified Designer	A person with designation as a Professional Agrologist (P.Ag.), Professional Engineer (P.Eng.), Certified Professional in Erosion and Sediment Control (CPESC), or Professional Licensee Engineer (P.L.Eng). Also called the Project Designer.
Qualified Inspector	A person with the education and experience necessary to inspect a construction site to ensure the ESC measures prescribed in the ESC Plan are being employed and are effective. Designation as a Canadian Certified Inspector of Sediment and Erosion Control (Can-CISEC) is one method of attaining the qualifications of a qualified inspector.
Raindrop Erosion	The dislodging of soil particles caused by the impact of raindrops.
Retention	The holding of runoff in a basin without release, except by means of evaporation, infiltration, or emergency bypass.

Revegetation	The planting of indigenous plants to replace natural vegetation that is damaged or removed as a result of construction activity or other forces.
Rill Erosion	The formation of numerous, closely spaced streamlets due to the increased concentration and velocity of sheet runoff on slopes.
Riparian	The land area around a body of water that is critical in supporting aquatic habitat (e.g., cover, filtration, and adsorption of pollutants, or soil stabilization with roots).
Riprap	Angular, durable rock meeting a design size gradation. Riprap is used to control erosion in high-energy environments.
Rolled Erosion Control Products (RECPs)	Biodegradable or synthetic soil coverings used to protect exposed soils from erosion. Classes of RECPs included erosion control blankets, turf reinforcement mats, and composite turf reinforcement mats.
Runoff	A volume of surface water that exceeds the soil's infiltration rate and depression storage; thereby, running over the land surface. The portion of precipitation that appears as flow in streams or drainage channels.
Sand	Inorganic soil particles 0.06 to 2 mm in diameter.
Scheduling	A document identifying major construction and soil-disturbing activities and the time allotted to each activity for completion.
Scour	Erosion caused by concentrated water flow, carrying away material by abrasive action. Scour can commonly occur at the toe of stream banks, often resulting in bank undercutting. Unprotected inlets and outlets at stormwater conveyances are also prone to scour if not adequately protected.
Sediment Control	Capture (by settling or filtration) of sediment produced by erosion.
Sediment	Soil particles detached and mobilized by erosion.
Sedimentation	The gravitational deposit of transported material from flowing or standing water or air. Sedimentation occurs when the energy of the transport agent is less than gravitational forces acting on material.
Seepage	The percolation of underground water through slopes, river banks, or at the base of slopes. Seepage can often cause erosion or make the stabilization of seepage-prone areas difficult.
Sequencing	An orderly list of all major land-disturbing activities and the proposed ESC measures associated with each.
Sheet Erosion	The removal (entrainment) of thin layers of soil by sheets of flowing water.
Sheet Flow	The movement of water in broad, thin sheets across a surface.

Silt	Soil particles 0.004 to 0.06 mm in diameter.
Slope Texturing	Roughening, tracking, furrowing, grooving, or benching of slope surfaces to reduce flow path length; thus, controlling runoff and reducing erosion potential.
Soil Disturbance Area	The area of land stripped of vegetation and exposed to erosion.
Soil Stabilization	Vegetative or structural soil cover used to control erosion (e.g., permanent and temporary seed, mulch, sod, and pavement).
Source Control	An effort to control pollutants (such as sediment at the source). Controlling runon and runoff, and quickly stabilizing exposed soils during construction activities are all examples of source control.
Storm Sewer	A system of structures (such as catch basins, underground pipes, manholes, and outfalls) that collect and convey stormwater runoff to treatment structures (such as storm ponds) or receiving water bodies. In many areas of Calgary, storm sewers connect directly to receiving water bodies; therefore, it is especially important that controls and practices are developed and implemented to control point source and non-point source pollution in such drainage areas.
Stormwater	Runoff and ponded water resulting from precipitation, snowmelt, and seepage.
Suspended Solids	Organic or inorganic particles suspended in the water column (including sand, silt, and clay particles).
Temporary Cover	
Swale	A shallow channel intended to collect and convey water during runoff events.
Tackifiers	Non-toxic, organic or polymer glues that bind mulch and other materials.
Topography	The physical features (natural and constructed) of a land surface (i.e., flat, rolling, mountainous).
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	Usually expressed as mg/L, TSS represents the mass of suspended material in a given volume of water.
Turbidity	Turbidity is the ability of particles in water to reflect light. The higher the amount of reflection, the more *turbid* a water is. High turbidity can negatively impact fish habitat, and makes drinking water sources difficult and expensive to treat. Turbidity can easily be measured in the field using a handheld turbidity meter (measures light scattering). Results are expressed in nephelometric turbidity units (NTU).
Turbulence	Turbulence reflects an energy state of water where the flow regime is chaotic. Turbulence occurs in flowing water that has a high velocity, and

	can be initiated by cross-currents; uneven, shallow substrates; and eddies.
Water Body	Surface waters, including rivers, streams, lakes, and wetlands.
Wetland	An area that is inundated with surface water or groundwater at a frequency and duration sufficient to support a prevalence of vegetation adapted to saturated conditions (swamps, marshes, bogs, and similar areas).

.

References

1. Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada. 2002a. *RUSLEFAC Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation for Application in Canada*. Ottawa, Ontario.
2. Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada. 2002b. *RUSLEFAC — Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation for Application in Canada: A Handbook for Estimating Soil Loss from Water Erosion in Canada.* G.J. Wall, D.R. Coote, E.A. Pringle, and I.J. Shelton, eds. Ottawa: Research Branch, Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada. Contribution No. AAFC/AAC2244E. p. 117.
3. Alberta Transportation and Infrastructure. 2011. *Design Guidelines for Erosion and Sediment Control for Highways*. Edmonton, Alberta, Canada. June.
4. Alexander, R. 2006. *Standard Specifications for Compost for Erosion/Sediment Control*. Developed for the Recycled Materials Resource Center, University of New Hampshire, Durham, New Hampshire, U.S.
5. American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO). 2013. *Standard Specifications for Transportation Materials and Methods of Sampling and Testing; Designation R 51-13; Compost for Erosion/Sediment Control (Filter Berms and Filter Socks).* Washington, D.C.
6. Applied Polymer Systems, Inc. (APS). *Conservation Practice Standard Applied Polymer Systems, Inc. (APS). 2000. Promotional Materials and Material Safety Datasheets regarding Silt Stop (APS 630, 640, 705, 730, and 740) and Floc Log (APS 702, 703, 730, and 732) polyacrylamide (PAM) products.*
7. Briggs, D., et al. 1989. *Handbook of Static Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometry*. Chichester, United Kingdom: Wiley.
8. California Stormwater Quality Association. 2003. *California Stormwater Best Management Practices MP Handbook.*
9. Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment (CCME). 2005. *Guidelines for Compost Quality.* October.
10. Clackamas County Water and Environment Services. 2008. *Erosion Prevention and Sediment Control Planning and Design Manual.* Oregon: Clackamas County Water and Environment Services, Oregon.
11. Fifield, Jerald S. 2004*. Designing for Effective Sediment and Erosion Control on Construction Site on Sites*. 2nd ed. Forester Communications Inc.
12. Foster, G.R., and V.A. Gerreira. 1981. "Deposition in uniform grade terrace channels." *Crop production with conservation in the 80's.* St. Joseph, Michigan: Am. Soc. Agric. Eng. pp. 185-197.
13. Georgia Soil and Water Conservation Commission. 2016. *Manual for Erosion and Sediment Control in Georgia*. 2016 Ed.
14. Government of Alberta. 1996. *Drainage Regulation, AR119/93.*
15. Government of Alberta. 2003. *Drainage (Ministerial) Regulation AR120/93*.

16. Government of Alberta. 2010. *Soil Conservation Act*. R.S.A. 2000, c. S-15.
17. Government of Alberta. 2014a. *Water Act.* R.S.A 2000, c. W-3.
18. Government of Alberta. 2014b. *Public Lands Act*. R.S.A. 2000, c. P-40.
19. Government of Alberta. 2016. *Environmental Protection and Enhancement Act*.
20. Government of Canada. 1985. *Fertilizers Act and Regulations.*
21. Government of Canada. 1985. *Navigable Waters Protection Act*. R.S., c. N-22.
22. Government of Canada. 1992. *Canadian Environmental Assessment Act*. c. 37.
23. Government of Canada. 1994. *Canadian Environmental Assessment Act – Inclusion List Regulations.* SOR/94-637.
24. Government of Canada. 1999a. *Canadian Environmental Protection Act*. c. 33.
25. Government of Canada. 1999b. *Fisheries Act*. R.S., 1985, c. F-14, Fisheries.
26. Lake Jr, D.W., 2016. *New York State Guidelines for Urban Erosion and Sediment Control*.
27. Maidment, David R. 1992. *Handbook of Hydrology*
28. Malaspina University College. 2005. *Erosion and Sediment Control Course Participants' Manual*. Nanaimo, British Columbia.
29. Mitchell, J.K., and G.D. Bubenzer. 1980. *Soil Loss Estimation, Soil Erosion*. M.J. Kirby and R.P.C. Morgan, eds. John Wiley & Sons.
30. North Carolina Department of Environment, Health and Natural Resources (NCDEHNR). 1991. *Erosion and Sediment Control Erosion and Sediment Control Practices: Video Modules*.
31. Oregon Department of Environmental Quality. 2000. *Best Management Practices for Storm Water Discharges Associated with Construction Activities, BMP #31 – Flocculants and Coagulants.* February.
32. Prince Edward Island Department of Agriculture. 2001. *Strict Liability Offences and Due Diligence*.
33. Province of Alberta, Canada. "Environmental Protection and Enhancement Act, R.S.A., c. M-26", (2000).
34. Province of Alberta, Canada. "Environmental Protection and Enhancement Act – Release Reporting Regulation AR117/93", (1993).
35. Province of Alberta, Canada. "Environmental Protection and Enhancement Act – Wastewater and Storm
36. Province of Alberta, Canada. "Water Act – Water (Ministerial) Regulation AR205/98", (1998).
37. Province of Alberta, Canada. "Water Act – Water (Ministerial) Regulation AR205/98, Code of Practice for Outfall Structures on Water Bodies", (2003).
38. Province of Alberta, Canada. "Water Act – Water (Ministerial) Regulation AR205/98, Code of Practice for Pipelines and Telecommunication Lines Crossing a Water Body". (2003).

39. Province of Alberta, Canada. Water Act – Water (Ministerial) Regulation AR205/98, Code of Practice for Watercourse Crossings. (2003).

40. Province of Alberta, Canada. “Water Act”, R.S.A., 2000., c., W-3. (2002).

41. Renard, K.G., et al., 1997. *Predicting Soil Erosion by Water: A Guide to Conservation Planning With the Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation*.

42. *RUSLE2 User’s Reference Guide*.2008

43. Schueler, T. 1987. *Controlling Urban Run-off: A Practical Manual for Planning and Designing Urban Best Management Practices*. Washington, D.C.: Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments. (1982).

44. The City of Calgary, Water Resources (The City). 2011. *Stormwater Management & Design Manual*.

45. The City of Calgary, Parks (The City). 2013. *Development Guidelines and Standard Specifications Landscape Construction.*

46. The City of Calgary (The City). 2014. *Riparian Strategy*.

47. The City of Calgary (The City). 2015. *Riparian Decision Matrix for River Engineering Projects*.

48. Transportation Association of Canada. 2005. *National Guide to Erosion and Sediment Control Erosion & sediment control on Roadway Projects*. Ottawa. May.

49. U.S. Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS). 2002. *Conservation Practice Standard Code 450 - Anionic Polyacrylamide (PAM) Erosion Control.* Alabama.

50. United States Composting Council (USCC). 2001. *Compost Use on State Highway Applications*. Washington, D.C., U.S.

51. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1992. *Stormwater Management for Construction Activities – Developing Pollution Prevention Plans and Best Management Practices*. Washington, DC. September.

52. Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation (DCR). 2002. *Erosion and Sediment Control Erosion & Sediment Control Technical Bulletin #2; Application of Anionic Polyacrylamide for Soil Stabilization and Stormwater Management.*

53. Walker, D., CPESC. 2002. *Erosion & Sediment Control on Construction Sites*.

54. Wall, G.J., Coote, D.R., Pringle, E.A., Shelton, I.J. 1997. *Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation for Application in Canada (RUSLEFAC)*

55. Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources. 2015*. Erosion Control Land Application of Anionic Polyacrylamide Code 1050.*

56. Vancouver Island University. 2009. *Erosion and Sediment Control Course Participants’ Manual*. Nanaimo, British Columbia.