FINAL

MINUTES OF THE CALGARY PLANNING COMMISSION HELD ON THURSDAY, 2018 JANUARY 11, AT 1:00 P.M. COUNCIL CHAMBERS, CITY HALL

PRESENT:

Mr. Ryan Vanderputten	Acting General Manager, Transportation
Mr. Matthias Tita (Chairman)	Acting General Manager, Planning & Development
Ms. Jyoti Gondek	Councillor, Ward 3
Mr. Evan Woolley	Councillor, Ward 8
Mr. Colin Friesen	Citizen Representative
Mr. Melvin Foht	Citizen Representative
Mr. Andrew Palmiere	Citizen Representative
Ms. Lourdes Juan	Citizen Representative
Mr. James Scott	Citizen Representative
ABSENT:	
Mr. Doug Leighton	Citizen Representative

CONFIRMATION OF AGENDA:

The 2018 January 11 Calgary Planning Commission Agenda was adopted.

	Moved by: M. Foht	Carried: 8 – 0
CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES:		
AMENDMENT:	In Mr. Foht's comments on Item 3.0 first bullet, after "suite because it fit criteria; a back lane" insert "the City requirements for Secondary Suites	t" and before "my y of Calgary
AMENDMENT:	In Mr. Leighton's comments on Iter 0146), first bullet after "Direct Contr however".	ι.
AMENDMENT:	In Mr. Leighton's comments on Iter 0146), bullet (b), after "not appear t other City" delete "comparative" an	to be" and before "to

AMENDMENT:	In Mr. Leighton's comments on Item 5.12 (LOC2017- 0146), bullet (c), after "could be perceived" and before "an attempt to buy" delete "and" and insert "as".
AMENDMENT:	Item 5.21 (LOC2016-0243(OP)) after "Carried:" delete "8 – 1" and insert "7 – 2".
AMENDMENT:	Item 5.21 (LOC2016-0243(OP)) after "Opposed: D. Leighton" insert "and A. Palmiere".

The minutes of the meeting held on 2017 December 14 were approved, as amended.

Moved by: L. Juan	Carried: 8 – 0
-------------------	----------------

ADJOURNMENT:

The meeting was adjourned at 2:47 p.m.

Moved by: E. Woolley Carried: 8 – 0

Minutes prepared by: Kimberly Holberton

The minutes of the Calgary Planning Commission, held 2018 January 11, will be confirmed on 2018 January 25.

ITEM NO.: 3.01	Calvin	Chan
COMMUNITY:	WILD	NOOD (WARD 8)
FILE NUMBER:	LOC2	017-0302
PROPOSED REDESIGNATION:	From:	Residential – Contextual One Dwelling (R-C1) District
	To:	Residential – Contextual One Dwelling (R-C1s) District
MUNICIPAL ADDRESS:	47 We	Ilington Place SW
APPLICANT:	Andrea	a Marie Kennedy
OWNER:	Andrea	a Marie Kennedy
ADMINISTRATION RECOMMENDATION:	APPR	OVAL
PLANNING COMMISSION DECISION:	2018 J	January 11
	The Ca Counc	algary Planning Commission recommended that il:
	1.	ADOPT , by bylaw, the proposed redesignation of 0.07 hectares ± (0.14 acres ±) located at 47 Wellington Place SW (Plan 7986GW, Block 8, Lot 42) from Residential – Contextual One Dwelling (R-C1) District to Residential – Contextual One Dwelling (R-C1s) District, in accordance with Administration's recommendation; and
	2.	Give three readings to the proposed Bylaw.
	Moved	d by: C. Friesen Carried: 8 – 0

ITEM NO.: 3.02	Jyde H	leaven
COMMUNITY:	GLENI	DALE (WARD 6)
FILE NUMBER:	LOC20	017-0320
PROPOSED REDESIGNATION:	From:	Residential – Contextual One Dwelling (R-C1) District
	To:	Residential – Contextual One Dwelling (R-C1s) District
MUNICIPAL ADDRESS:	2615 -	38 Street SW
APPLICANT:	Todd N	lielsen
OWNER:	Todd N	Nielsen
ADMINISTRATION RECOMMENDATION:	APPR	OVAL
PLANNING COMMISSION DECISION:	2018 J	anuary 11
PLANNING COMMISSION DECISION:		algary Planning Commission recommended that
PLANNING COMMISSION DECISION:	The Ca	algary Planning Commission recommended that
PLANNING COMMISSION DECISION:	The Ca Counc	ADOPT, by bylaw, the proposed redesignation of 0.05 hectares ± (0.12 acres ±) located at 2615 - 38 Street SW (Plan 814GT, Block 13, Lot 2) from Residential – Contextual One Dwelling (R- C1) District to Residential – Contextual One Dwelling (R-C1s) District, in accordance with

ITEM NO.: 3.03	Felix C	Ochieng
COMMUNITY:	BOWN	IESS (WARD 1)
FILE NUMBER:	LOC20	017-0315
PROPOSED REDESIGNATION:	From:	Residential – Contextual One Dwelling (R-C1) District
	То:	Residential – Contextual One Dwelling (R-C1s) District
MUNICIPAL ADDRESS:	6403 -	- 31 Avenue NW
APPLICANT:	Robert	Pashuk Architecture
OWNER:	Hyun .	loo Kim
ADMINISTRATION RECOMMENDATION:	APPR	OVAL
PLANNING COMMISSION DECISION:	2018 J	anuary 11
		algary Planning Commission recommended that
	The Ca	algary Planning Commission recommended that
	The Ca Counc	ADOPT, by bylaw, the proposed redesignation of 0.07 hectares ± (0.17 acres ±) located at 6403 – 31 Avenue NW (Plan 3239GR, Block 4, Lot 7) from Residential – Contextual One Dwelling (R- C1) District to Residential – Contextual One Dwelling (R-C1s) District, in accordance with

ITEM NO.: 3.04	Fazeel	Elahi
COMMUNITY:	BOWN	IESS (WARD 1)
FILE NUMBER:	LOC20	017-0312
PROPOSED REDESIGNATION:	From:	Residential – Contextual One Dwelling (R-C1) District
	То:	Residential – Contextual One Dwelling (R-C1s) District
MUNICIPAL ADDRESS:	4304 -	81 Street NW
APPLICANT:	Stephe	en Drummond
OWNER:	Stephe	en Drummond
ADMINISTRATION RECOMMENDATION:	APPR	OVAL
ADMINISTRATION RECOMMENDATION: PLANNING COMMISSION DECISION:		OVAL anuary 11
	2018 J	anuary 11 algary Planning Commission recommended that
	2018 J The Ca	anuary 11 algary Planning Commission recommended that
	2018 J The Ca Counc	 anuary 11 algary Planning Commission recommended that il: ADOPT, by bylaw, the proposed redesignation of 0.05 hectares ± (0.12 acres ±) located at 4304 - 81 Street NW (Plan 2074HB, Block 5, Lot 12) from Residential – Contextual One Dwelling (R-C1) District to Residential – Contextual One Dwelling (R-C1s) District, in accordance with

ITEM NO.: 3.05	Giyan	Brenkman	
COMMUNITY:	BREN	TWOOD (WARD 4)	
FILE NUMBER:	LOC20	017-0319	
PROPOSED REDESIGNATION:	From:	Residential – Contextual Or District	ne Dwelling (R-C1)
	To:	Residential – Contextual Or District	ne Dwelling (R-C1s)
MUNICIPAL ADDRESS:	4720 E	Benson Road NW	
APPLICANT:	Logan	Murdoch	
OWNER:		Murdoch Saprykina	
ADMINISTRATION RECOMMENDATION:	APPR	OVAL	
PLANNING COMMISSION DECISION:	2018 J	anuary 11	
	The Ca		
	Counc		recommended that
	Counc		oosed redesignation res ±) located at 4720 35JK, Block 3, Lot 35) ual One Dwelling (R- - Contextual One n accordance with
		il: ADOPT , by bylaw, the prop of 0.05 hectares ± (0.12 act Benson Road NW (Plan128 from Residential – Contextu C1) District to Residential – Dwelling (R-C1s) District, in	posed redesignation res ±) located at 4720 35JK, Block 3, Lot 35) ual One Dwelling (R- - Contextual One n accordance with dation; and

ITEM NO.: 3.06	Giyan	Brenkman
COMMUNITY:	CAMB	RIAN HEIGHTS (WARD 4)
FILE NUMBER:	LOC20	017-0314
PROPOSED REDESIGNATION:	From:	Residential – Contextual One Dwelling (R-C1) District
	To:	Residential – Contextual One Dwelling (R-C1s) District
MUNICIPAL ADDRESS:	40 Cao	dogan Road NW
APPLICANT:	Brian M	M Haley
OWNER:		∬ Haley ∕amamoto-Haley
ADMINISTRATION RECOMMENDATION:	APPR	OVAL
ADMINISTRATION RECOMMENDATION: PLANNING COMMISSION DECISION:		OVAL lanuary 11
	2018 J	lanuary 11 algary Planning Commission recommended that
	2018 J The Ca	lanuary 11 algary Planning Commission recommended that
	2018 J The Ca Counc	 algary Planning Commission recommended that il: ADOPT, by bylaw, the proposed redesignation of 0.05 hectares ± (0.13 acres ±) located at 40 Cadogan Road NW (Plan 9043HA, Block 7, Lot 12) from Residential – Contextual One Dwelling (R-C1) District to Residential – Contextual One Dwelling (R-C1s) District, in accordance with

ITEM NO.: 3.07	Mona	На
COMMUNITY:	DOVE	R (WARD 9)
FILE NUMBER:	LOC20	017-0334
PROPOSED REDESIGNATION:	From:	Residential – Contextual One Dwelling (R-C1) District
	To:	Residential – Contextual One Dwelling (R-C1s) District
MUNICIPAL ADDRESS:	50 Dov	vercliffe Close SE
APPLICANT:	Louise	Aroche
OWNER:	Louise Rene /	Aroche Aroche
ADMINISTRATION RECOMMENDATION:	APPR	OVAL
ADMINISTRATION RECOMMENDATION: PLANNING COMMISSION DECISION:		OVAL lanuary 11
	2018 J	lanuary 11 algary Planning Commission recommended that
	2018 J The Ca	lanuary 11 algary Planning Commission recommended that
	2018 J The Ca Counc	 anuary 11 algary Planning Commission recommended that il: ADOPT, by bylaw, the proposed redesignation of 0.04 hectares ± (0.09 acres ±) located at 50 Dovercliffe Close SE (Plan 7382JK, Block 7, Lot 69) from Residential – Contextual One Dwelling (R-C1) District to Residential – Contextual One Dwelling (R-C1s) District, in accordance with

ITEM NO.: 3.08	Giyan	Brenkman
COMMUNITY:	RUND	LE (WARD 10)
FILE NUMBER:	LOC20	017-0316
PROPOSED REDESIGNATION:	From:	Residential – Contextual One Dwelling (R-C1) District
	To:	Residential – Contextual One Dwelling (R-C1s) District
MUNICIPAL ADDRESS:	91 Rui	ndleson Way NE
APPLICANT:	17427	05 Alberta Ltd (Bhagwant Singh)
OWNER:	17427	05 Alberta Ltd (Bhagwant Singh)
ADMINISTRATION RECOMMENDATION:	APPR	Οναι
	/	OVAL
PLANNING COMMISSION DECISION:		January 11
PLANNING COMMISSION DECISION:	2018 J	lanuary 11 algary Planning Commission recommended that
PLANNING COMMISSION DECISION:	2018 J The Ca	lanuary 11 algary Planning Commission recommended that
PLANNING COMMISSION DECISION:	2018 J The Ca Counc	 Ianuary 11 algary Planning Commission recommended that il: ADOPT, by bylaw, the proposed redesignation of 0.04 hectares ± (0.11 acres ±) located at 91 Rundleson Way NE (Plan 7611294, Block 26, Lot 12) from Residential – Contextual One Dwelling (R-C1) District to Residential – Contextual One Dwelling (R-C1) District to Residential – Contextual One Dwelling (R-C1s) District, in accordance with Administration's

ITEM NO.: 3.09	Giyan Brenkman		
COMMUNITY:	CORAL SPRINGS (WARD 10)		
FILE NUMBER:	LOC2017-0318		
PROPOSED REDESIGNATION:	From:	: Residential – Contextual One Dwelling (R-C1) District	
	To:	Residential – Contextual One Dwelling (R-C1s District	;)
MUNICIPAL ADDRESS:	421 Co	Coral Springs Place NE	
APPLICANT:	Charan Saini		
OWNER:	Balwinder K Sani		
ADMINISTRATION RECOMMENDATION:	APPROVAL		
PLANNING COMMISSION DECISION:	2018 January 11		
	The Calgary Planning Commission recommended that Council:		
	1.	ADOPT , by bylaw, the proposed redesignation of 0.06 hectares \pm (0.16 acres \pm) located at 42 Coral Springs Place NE (Plan 9411564, Block Lot 208) from Residential – Contextual One Dwelling (R-C1) District to Residential – Contextual One Dwelling (R-C1s) District, in accordance with Administration's recommendation; and	1
	2.	Give three readings to the proposed Bylaw.	
	Move	ed by: C. Friesen Carried: 7 – 1	
	Opposed: M. Foht		
	• Id	the frontage available for on-street parking. No rear lane to allow for access to potential parking.	S

ITEM NO.: 3.10	Jihad Bitar		
COMMUNITY:	ACADIA (WARD 11)		
FILE NUMBER:	LOC2017-0304		
PROPOSED REDESIGNATION:	From:	Residential – Contextual One Dwelling (R-C1) District	
	То:	Residential – Contextual One Dwelling (R-C1s) District	
MUNICIPAL ADDRESS:	9012 F	airmount Drive SE	
APPLICANT:	Maryann Murzello		
OWNER:	Maryann Murzello Ransom Murzello		
ADMINISTRATION RECOMMENDATION:	APPROVAL		
PLANNING COMMISSION DECISION:	2018 J	anuary 11	
		-	
	The Ca Counc	algary Planning Commission recommended that il:	
	Counc	ADOPT, by bylaw, the proposed redesignation of 0.06 hectares ± (0.16 acres ±) located at 9012 Fairmount Drive SE (Plan 7174HS, Block 9, Lot 26) from Residential – Contextual One Dwelling (R-C1) District to Residential – Contextual One Dwelling (R-C1s) District, in accordance with	

ITEM NO.: 5.01	Josepł	n Silot	
COMMUNITY:	VARSITY (WARD 1)		
FILE NUMBER:	LOC2017-0114		
PROPOSED REDESIGNATION:	From:	Commercial – Corridor 2 f3 f3.0h46) District	8.0h46 (C-COR2
	То:	DC Direct Control District to maximum use size increase	
MUNICIPAL ADDRESS:	3412 -	- 49 Street NW	
APPLICANT:	Dialog		
OWNER:	Les Galeries D'Anjou Limitee		
ADMINISTRATION RECOMMENDATION:	APPROVAL		
PLANNING COMMISSION DECISION:	2018 January 11		
	The Ca Counc	algary Planning Commission il:	recommended that
	1.	ADOPT , by bylaw, the prop of 1.56 hectares ± (3.85 ac – 49 Street NW (Plan 7004 Commercial – Corridor 2 f3 f3.0h46) District to DC Dire accommodate maximum us accordance with Administra recommendation; and	res ±) located at 3412 JK, Block D) from 8.0h46 (C-COR2 ect Control District to se size increase, in
	2.	Give three readings to the	proposed Bylaw.
	Moved	by: A. Palmiere	Carried: 8 – 0

ITEM NO.: 5.02	Brendyn Seymour
COMMUNITY:	BANKVIEW (WARD 8)
FILE NUMBER:	DP2016-3080
PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT:	New: Multi-Residential Development (1 Building, 4 Units)
MUNICIPAL ADDRESS:	2516 – 15 Street SW
APPLICANT:	Homes By Us
OWNER:	Homes By Us Ltd
ADMINISTRATION RECOMMENDATION:	APPROVAL
PLANNING COMMISSION DECISION:	2018 January 11
	The Calgary Planning Commission APPROVED the proposed New: Multi-Residential Development (1 Building, 4 Units) at 2516 – 15 Street SW, in accordance with Administration's recommendation.
	Moved by: R. Vanderputten Carried: 5 – 3
	Opposed: C. Friesen, L. Juan and A. Palmiere
	 Reasons for Opposition from Mr. Friesen: I did not support this application since I did not think the quality of the design was good enough to warrant support. CPC requested this application to return specifically to ensure that design quality was at an acceptable level. Much good work has been done regarding the design but improvements could still be made. It is not clear, for example, that a design with a single parking entrance was ever considered and assuming other goals were also achieved by the design this would certainly have been an improvement. The end unit on the project presented has some articulation but still does not really address 15th Street as a frontage. The only way to do this effectively is to design end units with unique interior plans that allow reoriented front elevations at the end rather than slightly adjusted end elevations. The north elevation facing the adjacent building is very plain and although it is the least visible face it would still be seen from 15th and certainly from the other building. Overall the style of the building is inconsistent and includes elements from various traditions and architectural vocabularies that may not combine in a pleasing way. This is difficult to determine since no perspective images have been provided.

ITEM NO.: 5.03	Chris Wolfe		
COMMUNITY:	RESIDUAL SUB-AREA 12C (WARD 12)		
FILE NUMBER:	LOC2017-0163		
PROPOSED REDESIGNATION:	From:	Special Purpose – Future L (S-FUD) District	Jrban Development
	To:	Multi-Residential – Low Pro	ofile (M-1) District
MUNICIPAL ADDRESS:	8585 -	146 Avenue SE	
APPLICANT:	CIMA+	÷	
OWNER:	The Pe	entecostal Assemblies of Car	nada
ADMINISTRATION RECOMMENDATION:	REFU	SAL	
PLANNING COMMISSION DECISION:	2018 January 11		
	The Ca Counc	algary Planning Commission il:	recommended that
	1.	REFUSE the proposed red located at 1.62 hectares ± (at 8585 - 146 Avenue SE (2, Lot 2) from Special Purp Development (S-FUD) Dist Residential – Low Profile (M accordance with Administra recommendation; and	(4.10 acres ±) located Plan 0214343, Block ose – Future Urban rict to Multi- M-1) District, in
	2.	ABANDON the proposed E	Bylaw.
	Moved	d by: A. Palmiere	LOST: 3-5
	Oppos	ed: C. Friesen, L. Juan, J. S and J. Gondek	Scott, M. Foht
MOTION:	Admin	algary Planning Commission istration's recommendation o mends that Council:	
	1.	ADOPT, by bylaw, the prop of 1.62 hectares ± (4.10 ac - 146 Avenue SE (Plan 021 from Special Purpose – Fur Development (S-FUD) Dist Residential – Low Profile (M	res ±) located at 8585 14343, Block 2, Lot 2) ture Urban rict to Multi-
	2.	Give three readings to the	proposed Bylaw.
	Moved	d by: M. Foht	Carried: 5 – 3
	Oppos	ed: A. Palmiere, R. Vanderp	outten and E. Woollev

Opposed: A. Palmiere, R. Vanderputten and E. Woolley

Reasons for Support of the Adoption recommendation from Mr. Foht:

- I supported the Approval recommendation and favoured the overturning of Administration's Refusal recommendation. My support of the application is for the following reasons:
 - The M-1 land use is an appropriate land use considering the potential future land use of the adjacent lands.
 - The use is a good interim to long term use for the lands.
 - Being adjacent to Stoney Trail SE, a place of worship is a good use to transition to residential uses.
 - The detailed review triggered by a development permit will deal with the technical issues such as water, fire protection, etc.

Reasons for Support of the Adoption recommendation from Mr. Scott:

- The applicant's proposed use of a Place of Worship is contextually appropriate given its location near the existing Shepard settlement, within the area identified as the "Shepard Residential Area" in the *South Shepard ASP (SSASP)*. The proposed use supports the provision of community-oriented institutional uses, and is of a relatively small scale.
- The challenges with respect to leading infrastructure raised by Administration are significant, and it is acknowledged subdivision and development within this area of the SSASP beyond single-detached dwellings is discouraged, however allowing an opportunity for the applicant to respond to technical and operational issues in greater detail at the Development Permit application stage permits a more comprehensive evaluation of infrastructure and servicing solutions.

Reasons for Support of the Adoption recommendation from Mr. Friesen:

- I did not support the administration recommendation for refusal and voted for approval of the land use. Although much planning needs to be done in the area and there are reasonable concerns regarding fit the applicant is offering a valuable amenity to the area which will have many further approvals to achieve before anything is built. There is ample opportunity for adjustment if the vision of the applicant is not perfect for that location.
- In some cases a community is planned with an empty green field as the starting point. In other cases communities are developed around existing conditions. A blank slate does not always lead to the best result. The facility the applicant is proposing is far better located in a residential area than the industrial or commercial areas they seem to end up in.

Reasons for Support of the Adoption recommendation from Ms. Juan:

 I did not support the refusal for land use. Many of the technical concerns of administration can be considered and flushed out at the development permit stage. I believe the proposed use, which intended is to be a community hub and place of worship within a future community, is an appropriate use for the area.

Reasons for Opposition for the Adoption recommendation from Mr. Palmiere:

- In the absence of an Outline Plan there is no way to determine the appropriateness of M-1 on this site. New communities benefit from comprehensive planning and re-designating a single irregular shaped parcel to M-1 may encumber logical development and use placement.
- While the applicant has expressed a desire to build a 'Place of Worship - Medium', M-1 provides an array of uses that could happen should development plans change. A DC based on S-FUD with the added discretionary use of 'Place of Worship -Medium' is more appropriate.
- The direction of the Growth Management overlay is clear and the interim servicing solutions are unacceptable to Administration. As such S-FUD or an S-FUD variant remains the most appropriate designation as the uses reflect current servicing constraints.
- While I am sympathetic to the position of Applicant, there is no Planning Rationale to support the land use amendment.

ITEM NO.: 5.04	Jennifer Cardiff		
COMMUNITY:	ALBERT PARK/RADISSON HEIGHTS (WARD 9)		
FILE NUMBER:	LOC2017-0349		
PROPOSED REDESIGNATION:	From:	Residential – Contextual One Dwelling (R-C1) District	
	To:	Multi-Residential – Contextual Grade-Oriented (M-CGd79) District	
MUNICIPAL ADDRESS:	3412 -	12 Avenue SE	
APPLICANT:	Form 3 Design 2004		
OWNER:	Surinderpal Singh Brar		
ADMINISTRATION RECOMMENDATION:	APPROVAL		
PLANNING COMMISSION DECISION:	2018 January 11		
	The Ca Counc	algary Planning Commission recommended that il:	
	1.	ADOPT , by bylaw, the proposed redesignation of 0.05 hectares \pm (0.13 acres \pm) located at 3412 - 12 Avenue SE (Plan 3049JK, Block 5, Lot 18) from Residential – Contextual One Dwelling (R- C1) District to Multi-Residential – Contextual Grade-Oriented (M-CGd79) District, in accordance with Administration's recommendation; and	
	2.	Give three readings to the proposed Bylaw.	
	Moved	d by: A. Palmiere Carried: 8 – 0	